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## Synopsis:

The reaction of the organometallic ligand $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NH})\right\}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})$ with alkyl Group 13 derivatives affords $\left[\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ complexes in the first step. Adducts of trialkyl derivatives of aluminum and gallium further react at moderate temperatures to form the monoalkyl derivatives $\left[\mathrm{RM}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}$ ] via elimination of two equivalents of alkane. In contrast, the tribenzylindium adduct releases toluene in the first step and later a reductive elimination reaction takes place affording the indium(I) complex $\left[\operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ and bibenzyl.



#### Abstract

: The reactivity of the imido-nitrido trinuclear complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NH})\right\}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right]$ (1) towards alkyl derivatives of Group 13-14 elements has been investigated. Treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with trialkyl derivatives of aluminum, gallium or indium at room temperature afforded the adducts $\left[\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Al}\right.$ (3), Ga (4), $\mathrm{In}(\mathbf{5}) ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ga}(\mathbf{6})$, In (7)). The analogous reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\left[\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}\right]$ at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the methylaluminum derivative $\left[\operatorname{MeAl}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}$ ] (8) via methane elimination. Complexes $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ at this temperature gave also monoalkyl complexes $\left[\operatorname{RM}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Al}$, $\left.\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}(\mathbf{9}) ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathbf{1 1})\right)$ whereas the indium derivative 7 led to the indium(I) complex $\left[\operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (12) and bibenzyl. Analogous chloromethylaluminum adducts $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Cl}_{3-\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{N})\}](\mathrm{n}=1(\mathbf{1 3}), 2(\mathbf{1 4}))$ were obtained by treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\left[\mathrm{AlCl}_{3-\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$. Reaction of the lithium reagent $\left[\operatorname{Li}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]_{2}$ with tin, germanium and silicon chloroalkyl derivatives $\left[\mathrm{MClMe}_{3}\right]$ gave the Group 14 alkyl complexes $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{NH})_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sn}(\mathbf{1 5})$, Ge (16), Si (17)). The Xray crystal structures of $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ have been determined.


## Introduction

The reactions of trialkyl derivatives of Group 13 elements ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{In}$ ) with amines have been studied for decades. ${ }^{1}$ Trialkylmetal-amine adducts $\left[\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{\prime}{ }_{3}\right)\right]$ are formed in the first step of the reaction. ${ }^{2}$ In many cases, adducts with secondary or primary amines $\left.\left[\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NHR}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)\right]$ decompose at moderate temperatures via alkane RH elimination to give amido complexes $\left[\left\{\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$, being the most common in the literature the dimeric structures containing a four-membered ring with alternating metal and nitrogen atoms. ${ }^{3}$ Further heating at higher temperatures of the amido derivatives obtained from primary amines $\left[\left\{\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NHR}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ may result in an additional alkane elimination with formation of more condensed imido species $\left.\left[\left\{\operatorname{RM}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]\right]^{4,5}$

The chemistry of trialkyl derivatives of Group 13 with macrocyclic amines is comparatively scarcer. Several examples show the formation of adducts with macrocyclic amines, ${ }^{6}$ but at high temperatures the derivatives containing macrocyclic secondary amines release alkanes. ${ }^{7}$ As an exception, the $1: 1$ adduct $\left[\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}\{(\operatorname{tacn}) \mathrm{H}\}\right]$ $((\operatorname{tacn}) \mathrm{H}=1,4$-diisopropyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane $)$ is surprisingly thermally stable and does not release methane in refluxing toluene. ${ }^{8}$ Most of those examples show the coordination of the macrocyclic nitrogen donors to several alkylmetal fragments while their link as chelate to a single alkyl Group 13 metal center remains rare. Early work by Ito and coworkers demonstrated the formation of the complex [AlEtL] by reaction of [ $\left.\mathrm{AlEt}_{3}\right]$ in hexane solution with the macrocyclic $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathrm{~L}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right]\right)$ via a two-step ethane elimination sequence. ${ }^{9}$ Similar complexes $[\mathrm{MRL}]$ and $\left[\mathrm{MR}_{2} \mathrm{~L}\right]$ have been characterized later on the reactions of aluminum, gallium and indium trialkyl derivatives with analogous polydentated amines. ${ }^{10}$

In the last few years, we have been studying the coordination chemistry of the trinuclear titanium imido-nitrido complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NH})\right\}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right]^{11,12}$ (1). Compound 1 shows a cyclic $\left[\mathrm{Ti}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{NH})_{3}\right]$ system with three NH electron-donor imido
groups similar to the macrocyclic secondary triamines. Our previous work with transition metal derivatives has shown that $\mathbf{1}$ is capable of acting as a neutral tridentate ligand through the basal NH groups, but later those imido groups can also be deprotonated to give monoanionic, dianionic and even trianionic forms of $\mathbf{1}$ depending on the metal and the other ligands present in the coordination sphere. ${ }^{13,14}$ More recently, we have also demonstrated the ability of $\mathbf{1}$ to coordinate main-group metal cyclopentadienides and halides to give the adducts $\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{N})\}] .{ }^{15}$ Additionally in this similarity with amines, $\mathbf{1}$ can be deprotonated by treatment with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amido to give the lithium complex $\left[\operatorname{Li}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NH})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{2}),{ }^{16,17}$ which has shown to be an efficient reagent to prepare new heterometallic nitrido complexes through the reaction with chloride derivatives. ${ }^{14,16}$

Herein, we report the synthesis, structure and thermal behavior of adducts of $\mathbf{1}$ with Group 13 alkyl derivatives. The analogous reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with Group 14 alkyl derivatives were not successful but several compounds were obtained through the metathesis reaction of $\mathbf{2}$ with Group 14 cloroalkyl derivatives.

## Results and Discussion

Reactions with Group 13 trialkyl derivatives. The synthetic chemistry is outlined in Scheme 1. Treatment of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NH})\right\}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right]$ (1) with one equivalent of tris(trimethylsilylmethyl) derivatives $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ of aluminum, ${ }^{18}$ gallium ${ }^{19}$ or indium ${ }^{20}$ in hexane at room temperature led to the precipitation of the Group 13 adducts $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Al}(\mathbf{3}), \mathrm{Ga}$ (4), In (5)) as yellow or orange solids in $43-63 \%$ yields. Analogous reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with tribenzyl derivatives of gallium and indium $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{thf})_{\mathrm{x}}\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ga},{ }^{21} \mathrm{x}=1 ; \mathrm{M}=\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{In},{ }^{22} \mathrm{x}=0\right)$ in toluene afforded the adducts $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{N})\}](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ga}(6)$, In (7) $)$ as orange crystals in 51-59\% yield.


Scheme 1. Reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\left[\mathrm{MR}_{3}\right]$. $[\mathrm{Ti}]=\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$

The trimethylsilylmethyl derivatives 3-5 are light sensitive in solution giving unknown greenish products and therefore their preparations and manipulations in solution were carried out in amber stained flasks. Solutions of the benzyl derivatives $\mathbf{6}$ and 7 were not altered under ambient light during weeks in argon atmosphere. Compounds 3-7 were characterized by spectral and analytical methods, as well as by Xray crystal structure determinations for 6 and 7. The mass spectra of complexes 3-7 (EI, 70 eV ) do not show the expected molecular peaks for the adducts, but those found of higher relation mass/charge correspond to the elimination of alkyl groups from the molecules. IR spectra ( KBr ) of complexes 3-7 reveal one $v_{\mathrm{NH}}$ vibration in the range $3361-3343 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ similar to the value determined for $\mathbf{1}, 3352 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{12}$ and other adducts of 1 with metal cyclopentadienides and halides. ${ }^{15}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra in benzene $-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ or chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ at room temperature of complexes $\mathbf{3}, 6$ and 7 show resonances for equivalent $\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ and alkyl groups suggesting a highly symmetrical structure or the existence of dynamic exchange processes with a low-energy barrier in solution, in a similar fashion to those found in the analogous compounds $\left[I_{3} \operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{NH})_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right] .{ }^{15 b}$ The NH resonance signals in these spectra ( $\delta=12.7-12.4$ ) are shifted to a higher field than that found for $\mathbf{1}(\delta=13.8)$. We have noted an analogous shift in other adducts of $\mathbf{1},{ }^{15}$ and
used those data to propose the coordination of the NH groups to the incorporated elements. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra revealed a singlet for the ipso-carbon resonance of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligands ( $\delta=120.3-120.0$ ), which is slightly shifted downfield with respect to that found for $\mathbf{1}(\delta=117.1) .{ }^{12}$

Surprisingly, the trimethylsilylmethyl derivatives of gallium $\mathbf{4}$ and indium $\mathbf{5}$ are not soluble in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ and decompose in chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ precluding their characterization by NMR spectroscopy. However, NMR analysis of the dilute resultant solutions in benzene-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ reveals resonance signals almost identical to those determined for 1 and uncomplexed $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ reagents, suggesting the dissociation of complexes $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ in solution. Partial dissociation in solution has been reported for the complexes $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ga}\left\{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right\} \mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{23}$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{PHPh}_{2}\right)\right] .{ }^{24}$ The higher stability of Group 13 tribenzyl adducts $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~L})\right] \quad(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ga}$, In$)$ when compared with the analogous trimethylsilylmethyl derivatives $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~L})\right]$ has been observed previously and attributed to the decreased steric bulk of the benzyl ligand. ${ }^{22}$

The molecular structures of complexes $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ are presented in Figures 1 and 2, and selected distances and angles for both compounds are given in Table 1. The solidstate structures show a distorted tetrahedral geometry for the gallium and indium centers, comprising three methylene carbon atoms of the benzyl groups and one nitrogen atom of the metalloligand 1. The benzyl groups keep in a propeller-like disposition like that shown by the starting reagent $\left[\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}(\right.$ thf $\left.)\right] .{ }^{21}$ The galliumnitrogen, 2.094(2) $\AA$, and gallium-carbon, average 2.036(3) $\AA$, bond lengths in 6 compare well with those found in other trialkylgallium amine adducts. ${ }^{25,26}$ The indiumnitrogen, $2.296(2) \AA$, and indium carbon bond lengths in 7, average 2.248(3) $\AA$, are similar to other trialkylindium amine adducts. ${ }^{26,27}$

The overall structure of 7 resembles that of the indium(iII) iodide adduct $\left[I_{3} \operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{NH})_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ reported by us. ${ }^{15 b}$ However, the higher electronic deficiency of indium in the $\mathrm{InI}_{3}$ fragment makes shorter the In-N bond length $(0.1 \AA)$ and forces it to occupy the cavity formed in the inner part of the metalloligand $\mathbf{1}$ to permit some interaction with the other two imido groups, showing a value for the angle formed by the $\operatorname{In}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ bond and the $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}(3)$ plane of $71.0(3)^{\circ}$, while a value of $87.2(1)^{\circ}$ is obtained for 7 .


Figure 1. Perspective view of $\mathbf{6}$ with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2. Perspective view of 7 with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Table 1. Selected lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complexes 6 and 7.

|  | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{G a}(\mathbf{6})$ | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{I n}(7)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $2.094(2)$ | $2.296(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}^{*}$ | $2.036(3)$ | $2.248(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti} \cdots \mathrm{Ti} *$ | $2.826(7)$ | $2.821(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}$ | $1.920(2)$ | $1.923(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}(12)^{*}$ | $2.022(2)$ | $2.004(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{NH}\right)^{*}$ | $1.917(2)$ | $1.919(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}^{*}$ | $105.9(1)$ | $105.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{M}^{*}-\mathrm{C}^{*}$ | $112.8(1)$ | $112.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)$ | $123.3(1)$ | $119.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)$ | $118.9(1)$ | $116.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)$ | $89.5(1)$ | $90.3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{NH}\right)-\mathrm{Ti}{ }^{*}$ | $94.5(1)$ | $94.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}$ | $94.8(1)$ | $94.4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Nimido}^{*}$ | $85.9(1)$ | $86.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {imido }}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Nimido}^{*}$ | $106.9(1)$ | $106.4(1)$ |

*Averaged values

As can be seen in Table 1, the indium-nitrogen and indium-carbon bond lengths in 7 are $0.202 \AA$ and $0.212 \AA$ longer than the respective gallium bond lengths in $\mathbf{6}$ which respond mainly to the difference in covalent radii from gallium to indium $(0.18 \AA) .{ }^{28}$

The geometry about $\mathrm{N}(12)$ in both structures, sum of angles $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{M}=331.7^{\circ}(\mathbf{6})$ and $326.8^{\circ}$ (7), is close to that expected for the ideal tetrahedral $328.5^{\circ}$. Within the organometallic ligand the coordination of one NH group to gallium or indium atoms does not affect significantly to the average bond distances and angles, presenting values close to those found for the parental compound $\mathbf{1},{ }^{11}$ except those related with the nitrogen atom $\mathrm{N}(12)$ coordinated to the metal, which presents longer Ti-N bonds ( $0.1 \AA$ ) and a narrower $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{Ti}$ angle $\left(4^{\circ}\right)$.

In a similar procedure to the preparation of complexes 3-7, the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with trimethylaluminum in toluene at room temperature gave an orange solution. Analysis by NMR spectroscopy of the solution components revealed a mixture of compounds containing Al-Me fragments. The reaction in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy using an amber stained NMR tube. The spectra at room temperature and different reaction intervals showed resonances for several organometallic species and methane. After heating at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days the spectra showed complete transformation of the mixture to give resonance signals assigned to the complex $\left[\operatorname{MeAl}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (8) and methane as the solely diamagnetic species in solution. In a preparative experiment using amber stained glassware, the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with [ $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ ] in hexane at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days afforded a red solid. Analysis of this solid by NMR spectroscopy showed resonance signals for $\mathbf{8}$ as the major product along with minor broad resonances which could be due to paramagnetic species. Despite many attempts $\mathbf{8}$ could not be obtained in a pure form and was only characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{29}$

In contrast, the heating of the trimethylsilylmethyl aluminum adduct $\mathbf{3}$ in toluene at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in absence of light progressed cleanly to give the analogous complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](9)$ as a red solid in $82 \%$ yield (Scheme 2). The thermal decomposition of $\mathbf{3}$ in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ solution was monitored by

NMR spectroscopy. Spectra taken after heating at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed new resonances assigned to the dialkylaluminum compound $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{1 0})$ and tetramethylsilane. After 3 days at that temperature, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed a mixture of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (ca. 70\%) and complexes $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{9}$. After heating at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , the spectra revealed complete consumption of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ to give complex 9 and the corresponding two equivalents of tetramethylsilane. It is noteworthy that although one NH group remains in complexes $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$, no further alkane elimination occurs on heating those compounds in benzene-d ${ }_{6}$ solutions at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Scheme 2. Thermal behavior of adducts $\left[\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$. $[\mathrm{Ti}]=$ $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$

Compound 9 was characterized by spectroscopic and analytic methods as well as by an X-ray crystal structure determination. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of complexes $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ in benzene $-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ reveal resonance signals for two $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ groups in a 1:2 ratio, those due to one alkyl ligand and broad signals for the NH imido ligand. These data are consistent with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetry in solution. The molecular structure of the azaheterometallocubane $\mathbf{9}$ is presented in Figure 3, while selected lengths and angles are given in Table 2. Complex 9 shows an almost perfect $\left[\mathrm{AlTi}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right]$ cube core with angles $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{N}$,
and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}$ all close to $90^{\circ}$. The coordination environment of aluminum is best described as distorted tetrahedral with angles spanning $90.2(2)-127.9(4)^{\circ}$. The bond lengths Al-C, 1.946(8) $\AA$, and Al-N, average 1.981(6) $\AA$, are similar to those found in the aluminum macrocyclic complexes $\left[\operatorname{AlEt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)\right]$, ${ }^{9}$ $\left[(\mathrm{AlMe})_{2}\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{7 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\quad[\mathrm{AlMe}(\mathrm{OEP})]^{30} \quad(\mathrm{OEP}=2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-$ octaethylporphinato).


Figure 3. Perspective view of $\mathbf{9}$ with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Table 2. Selected lengths ( $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 9.

| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.946(8)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $1.977(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(13)$ | $1.981(6)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(23)$ | $1.984(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}^{*}$ | $1.929(5)$ | $\mathrm{Ti} \cdots \mathrm{Ti}^{*}$ | $2.804(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti} \cdots \mathrm{Al}^{*}$ | $2.714(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(13)$ | $90.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(23)$ | $90.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(13)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(23)$ | $90.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $121.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(13)$ | $127.9(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(23)$ | $125.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {imido }}{ }^{*}$ | $86.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{Nimido}^{2} \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {imido }}{ }^{*}$ | $93.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ti}^{*}$ |  | Ti-N-Al* | $88.0(2)$ |

[^0]The thermal behavior of the benzyl gallium (6) and indium (7) adducts in benzene$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ was also investigated by NMR spectroscopy. Both complexes decompose, slowly at room temperature and rapidly at higher temperatures, giving different products. In the heating of 6 at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day the monobenzyl gallium(III) derivative $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Ga}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]^{31}$ (11) and toluene (1:2 ratio) were observed. However, the data for the decomposition studies of the indium adduct 7 at that temperature indicated the formation of toluene, bibenzyl and the indium( I ) complex $\left[\operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{1 2})$ in a 1:1:1 ratio.

In preparative scale experiments, complex $\mathbf{1 1}$ could not be isolated in a pure form due to the presence of small impurities of $\mathbf{1}$, which shows similar solubility in common solvents. The thermal decomposition of the benzyl indium derivative 7 in toluene at 130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days afforded a mixture of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and bibenzyl. Alternatively, heating of complex $\mathbf{7}$ in the solid state under dynamic vacuum at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours afforded complex $\mathbf{1 2}$ in quantitative yield. We had previously published the synthesis and characterization of $\mathbf{1 2}$ through the reaction of the lithium derivative $\left[\operatorname{Li}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{N})\}]_{2}$ (2) with InCl in toluene. ${ }^{16}$

In view of these results, the thermal decomposition of adducts of $\mathbf{1}$ with Group 13 trialkyl derivatives appears to proceed in a first step via elimination of an alkane molecule to give dialkyl intermediates $\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$, unambiguously identified in the bis(trimethylsilylmethyl)aluminum derivative 10. From those intermediates, an additional alkane elimination affords the aluminum and gallium monoalkyl derivatives $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}$ and 11. This pathway is comparable to those reported for the chemistry of aluminum, gallium and indium with macrocyclic secondary amines by Ito ${ }^{9}$ and other authors. ${ }^{10}$ In contrast, the formation of the indium(I) complex 12, toluene and bibenzyl may be explained as a result of the reductive elimination reaction from the not detected intermediate $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$. An
analogous decomposition of indium(III) to indium(I) derivatives in organometallic chemistry has been observed upon heating $\left[\operatorname{In}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$, in the solid state or solution, to give $\left[\operatorname{In}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right] .{ }^{32}$

Reactions with Groups 13 and 14 chloromethyl derivatives. Treatment of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NH})\right\}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right]$ (1) with one equivalent of dichloromethylaluminum [ $\left.\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right]$ or chlorodimethylaluminum $\left[\mathrm{AlClMe}_{2}\right]$ derivatives at room temperature led to the adducts $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Cl}_{3-\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathrm{n}=1$ (13), 2 (14)) (Scheme 3). Whereas the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\left[\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right]$ in toluene afforded compound 13. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ in high purity as an orange precipitate in $41 \%$ yield, the analogous treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with [ $\mathrm{AlClMe}_{2}$ ] gave a dark green precipitate. This green solid is not soluble in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ and produced orange solutions in chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ where complex 14 was identified by NMR spectroscopy. Complex $\mathbf{1 4}$ was obtained as an orange solid (83\% yield) in higher purity by using chloroform $-\mathrm{d}_{1}$ as reaction solvent and amber stained glassware in all the manipulations.


Scheme 3. Reactions with chloromethyl complexes $\left[\mathrm{AlCl}_{3-\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ y $\left[\mathrm{MClMe} \mathrm{e}_{3}\right]$. $[\mathrm{Ti}]=$ $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$.

Complexes $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ were characterized by spectral and analytical techniques, as well as by an X-ray crystal structure determination for $\mathbf{1 3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra in chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ at room temperature show resonances for equivalent $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$, $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and NH groups suggesting rapid exchange processes in solution. Upon cooling at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 3}$ still revealed a single sharp resonance for the $\eta^{5}$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligands. The molecular structure of complex $\mathbf{1 3}$ is presented in Figure 4, while selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 3. Crystals of $\mathbf{1 3}$ bear one toluene molecule per aluminum adduct. The solid-state structure presents a distorted tetrahedral geometry for the aluminum center, comprising two chlorine atoms, one carbon atom of a methyl group and one NH ligand, with angles spanning 101.8(1)-117.5(1) ${ }^{\circ}$. The Al-N distance of $1.902(2) \AA$ is shorter than those found in 9 (average 1.981(6) Å) but similar to that found in $\left[\mathrm{MeCl}_{2} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SnMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right\}\right]$, 1.919(8) $\AA .{ }^{33}$ The Al-N, Al-C (1.977(3) Å) and $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Cl}$ (average $2.174(1) \AA$ ) bond lengths in $\mathbf{1 2}$ compare well with those recently reported for $\left[\mathrm{MeCl}_{2} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right] \cdot{ }^{34}$ The sum of angles $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(23)-\mathrm{M}\left(329.8^{\circ}\right)$ is very close to an ideal tetrahedral geometry. Within the organometallic ligand the coordination of one NH group to aluminum gives a $0.1 \AA$ lengthening of the Ti-N(23) bonds and a $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{N}(23)-\mathrm{Ti}(3)$ angle $4^{\circ}$ narrower than that of $\mathbf{1},{ }^{11}$ in a similar disposition to that of $\mathbf{6}$ and 7.


Figure 4. Perspective view of $\mathbf{1 3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Table 3. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{1 3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$.

| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(23)$ | $1.902(2)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.977(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.185(1)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.163(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti} \cdots \mathrm{Ti}{ }^{*}$ | $2.833(1)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}(1)^{*}$ | $1.919(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{N}(23)$ | $2.055(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $1.887(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(3)-\mathrm{N}(23)$ | $2.049(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(3)-\mathrm{N}(13)$ | $1.878(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $1.958(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{N}(13)$ | $1.958(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(23)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $117.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(23)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $101.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(23)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $111.6(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $110.2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $109.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $105.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(23)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)$ | $121.1(1)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(1)-\mathrm{N}(23)-\mathrm{Ti}(3)$ | $119.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{N}(23)-\mathrm{Ti}(3)$ | $88.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-(\mu 2-\mathrm{NH})-\mathrm{Ti} *$ | $94.2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Ti} \mathrm{Ti}^{*}$ | $95.2(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {imido }}{ }^{*}$ | $85.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {imido }}-\mathrm{Ti}^{-} \mathrm{N}_{\text {imido }}{ }^{*}$ | $105.9(1)$ |  |  |

*Averaged values

Thermal decomposition of complexes $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ in benzene-d 6 solutions were examined by NMR spectroscopy. After heating at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day, the spectra showed a complicated mixture of unknown products. The thermal processes presumably involve activation of aluminum-chlorine bonds with generation of reactive HCl , and were not further investigated.

We have also examined the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with alkylderivatives of Group 14 elements. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ did not react with silicon or tin [ $\mathrm{MMe}_{4}$ ] derivatives even after prolonged heating at $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ solutions. The reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with chlorotrimethyltin in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. After heating at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day the spectra showed resonances for the compound $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{Sn}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{NH})_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{1 5})$ presumably via generation of HCl . The formation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is very slow and at higher temperatures the spectra show resonances for complexes 15, 1, $\left[\mathrm{SnMe}_{4}\right]$ and several unidentified species. Complex 15 and the analogous silicon derivative $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{NH})_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (17) have been previously obtained as orange crystals by treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Li}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]_{2}$ (2) with $\left[\mathrm{MClMe}_{3}\right]$ in toluene at room temperature (Scheme 3). ${ }^{16}$ The reaction of 2 with chlorotrimethylgermanium afforded the new complex $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Ge}^{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{NH})_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (16) in $88 \%$ yield. Compounds $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ are very soluble in hexane or toluene, and their solutions in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ remain unaltered at high temperatures. Complex 16 features similar spectroscopic data to those reported for $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 17. ${ }^{16}$ In addition, from saturated pentane solutions of 15 and 16 at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ it was possible to grow suitable single crystals for X-ray diffraction. The molecular structures of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ are shown in Figures 5 and 6, while selected bond lengths and angles for both complexes are given in Table 4. Complex $\mathbf{1 6}$ crystallizes with two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. The solid-state structures of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ reveal the coordination of the metalloligand by one $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ nitrido group to the tin and germanium centers, respectively. The coordination sphere of the Group 14 elements contains three methyl groups and the nitrido group in an almost perfect tetrahedral geometry. The tinnitrogen, $2.060(4) \AA$, and tin-carbon, average $2.150(5) \AA$, bond lengths in $\mathbf{1 5}$ are similar to those found in other trimethyltin amido complexes. ${ }^{35}$ The germanium-nitrogen, $1.861(4) \AA$, and germanium-carbon, average $1.954(5) \AA$, bond lengths in $\mathbf{1 6}$ compares
well with reported values in the literature for trimethylgermanium amides. ${ }^{36}$ As can be seen in Table 4, the tin-nitrogen and tin-carbon bond lengths in $\mathbf{1 5}$ are $0.199 \AA$ and $0.196 \AA$ longer than the respective germanium bond lengths in $\mathbf{1 6}$ which respond to the difference in covalent radii of germanium and tin $(0.18 \AA) .^{28}$

The sum of angles $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{M}$ about $\mathrm{N}(13)$ in $\mathbf{1 5}, 343.1^{\circ}$, and $\mathbf{1 6}, 346.2^{\circ}$, are slightly smaller than the expected for a trigonal planar geometry $\left(360^{\circ}\right)$ but bigger than the analogous sum for the bridging nitrogen atom in the structures determined for adducts 6, 7, and 13. Within the metalloligand the titanium-nitrogen bond lengths (average $1.928(4) \AA$ in $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 1.930(4) $\AA$ in $\mathbf{1 6}$ ) and the Ti-N-Ti (average $\approx 93^{\circ}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}$ (average $106^{\circ}$ ) angles are very similar to those found in $\mathbf{1} .^{11}$


Figure 5. Perspective view of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 6. Perspective view of $\mathbf{1 6}$ with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Table 4. Selected lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complexes 15 and 16.

|  | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{S n}(\mathbf{1 5})$ | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{G e}(\mathbf{1 6})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(13)$ | $2.060(4)$ | $1.861(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{M}^{*} \mathrm{C}^{*}$ | $2.150(5)$ | $1.954(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}^{*}$ | $1.928(4)$ | $1.930(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti} \cdots \mathrm{Ti}^{*}$ | $2.806(1)$ | $2.808(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(13)-\mathrm{M}^{*} \mathrm{C}^{*}$ | $109.5(2)$ | $110.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{M}^{*}$ | $109.5(2)$ | $108.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(13)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)$ | $125.9(2)$ | $127.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(13)-\mathrm{Ti}(3)$ | $124.2(2)$ | $126.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{N}(13)-\mathrm{Ti}(3)$ | $93.0(2)$ | $92.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ti}^{*}$ | $93.5(2)$ | $93.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {imido }}{ }^{*}$ | $86.2(2)$ | $86.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {imido-Ti-Nimido }}{ }^{*}$ | $105.9(2)$ | $106.0(2)$ |

*Averaged values. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Averaged values for the two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of complexes $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at room temperature show resonance signals for two $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ groups in a 2:1 ratio, singlets for the $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ fragments and broad signals for equivalent NH ligands. These data are consistent with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetric structures in solution. The NH resonance signals in those spectra ( $\delta=$ 14.2-14.1) are shifted to lower field than those found in $\mathbf{1}(\delta=13.8)$. We have not observed this shift to lower field in any other derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$, and we suggest that those
data are indicative of the absence of coordination of the NH ligands to the silicon, germanium or tin centers in solution. The NMR data of 15-17 are therefore in agreement with the solid state structures and rule out the existence of six-coordinate metal centers in solution. Thus, we propose that complexes 15-17 both in solution and in the solid-state show an incomplete cube structure similar to the organometallic ligand 1.

## Conclusion

The reaction of the organometallic ligand $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NH})\right\}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right]$ (1) with alkyl Group 13 derivatives affords adducts $\left[\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Cl}_{3-\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ in the first step. Adducts of trialkyl derivatives of aluminum and gallium further react at moderate temperatures to form monoalkyl derivatives $\left[\mathrm{RM}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ via elimination of two equivalents of alkane. In contrast, the indium tribenzyl adduct of $\mathbf{1}$ releases toluene in the first step and later a reductive elimination reaction takes places affording the indium(I) complex $\left[\operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ and bibenzyl. On the other hand, highly stable trimethyl derivatives of Group 14 elements $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{M}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{NH})_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ with incomplete cube structure can be obtained through the reaction of the lithium reagent $\left[\operatorname{Li}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]_{2}$ (2) with $\left[\mathrm{MClMe}_{3}\right]$.

## Experimental Section

General Comments. All manipulations were carried out under argon atmosphere using Schlenk line or glovebox techniques. Hexane was distilled from $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K}$ alloy just before use. Toluene was freshly distilled from sodium. NMR solvents were dried with $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K}$
alloy $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ or calcium hydride $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and vacuum-distilled. Oven-dried glassware was repeatedly evacuated with a pumping system (ca. $1 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr) and subsequently filled with inert gas. Thermolyses in solution at high temperatures were carried out by heating flame-sealed NMR or Carius tubes in a Roth autoclave model III. [AlMe ${ }_{3}$ ( 2 M in toluene), $\left[\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right]$ ( 1 M in hexane), $\left[\mathrm{AlClMe}_{2}\right]$ ( 1 M in hexane) and bibenzyl were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. [GeClMe $]$ was purchased from ABCR and used as received. $\quad\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NH})\right\}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right] \quad(\mathbf{1}),{ }^{11,12} \quad\left[\operatorname{Li}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NH})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \quad\left(\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right),{ }^{16}\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Al},{ }^{37} \mathrm{Ga},{ }^{19}\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{In}^{38}\right)$, $\left[\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{thf})\right]^{21}$ and $\left[\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]^{22}$ were prepared according to published procedures.

Samples for infrared spectroscopy were prepared as KBr pellets. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity-300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given relative to residual protons or to carbon of the solvent. Electron impact mass spectra were obtained at 70 eV on a Hewlett-Packard 5988A mass spectrometer. Microanalysis (C, H, N) were performed in a Heraeus CHN-O-Rapid or a Leco CHNS932 microanalyzers.

Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (3): All the manipulations were carried out in absence of light by using amber stained glassware. A 100 mL amber stained Schlenk flask was charged with $1(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right](0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ and hexane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h with precipitation of a solid. This solid was isolated by filtration onto a glass frit, washed with hexane ( 15 mL ) and vacuum-dried to afford $\mathbf{3}$ as a yellow powder ( $0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3361 (w), 2946 (vs), 2910 (vs), 1493 (w), 1431 (m), 1377 (s), 1322 (w), 1238 (vs), 1025 (w), 961 (s), 858 (vs), 824 (vs), 788 (w), 754 (vs), 735 (vs), 675 (w), 657 (s), 589 (m), 542 (w), 510 (w), 462 (w), 419 (w). NMR spectra were obtained from solutions of $\mathbf{3}$ in amber stained NMR tubes: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 12.44$ (s br., $3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{NH}$ ), 1.94 (s, $45 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), 0.38 (s, 27H; $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ), $-1.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 120.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 12.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me} 5\right), 4.4$ ( $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ), not observed $\mathrm{AlCH}_{2}$. MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 722 (18) $\left[\mathrm{M}-2\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}, 608$ (15) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}, 202$ (13) $\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}, 115$ (7) $\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}, 73$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+}$, Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{AlN}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=896.97\right)$ : C 56.24, H 9.10, N 6.25. Found: C 56.06, H 9.29, N 5.96.

Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ga}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (4): In a fashion similar to the preparation of $\mathbf{3}$, treatment of $\mathbf{1}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\left[\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right](0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 9 h afforded 4 as an orange solid ( $0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3343 (w), 2942 (vs), 2913 (vs), 2858 (m), 1590 (w), 1489 (m), 1434 (m), 1377 (m), 1233 (s), 1161 (w), 1024 (w), 911 (s), 855 (s), 822 (vs), 756 (m), 720 (vs), 676 (w), 648 (s), 621 (w), 529 (w), 496 (w), 474 (w), 428 (w). MS (EI, 70 eV$): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 678 (1) $\left[\mathrm{M}-3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$, 608 (1) $[\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}, 243$ (35) $\left[\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}, 157$ (2) $\left[\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}, 73$ (49) [ $\left.\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{GaN}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=939.71\right)$ : C 53.68, H 8.69, N 5.96. Found: C 54.06, H 8.58, N 6.40.

Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (5): In a fashion similar to the preparation of $\mathbf{3}$, treatment of $\mathbf{1}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\left[\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ $(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 5 h afforded 5 as an orange solid $(0.36 \mathrm{~g}$, $44 \%$ ). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3344 (m), 2941 (vs), 2915 (vs), 1492 (w), 1436 (m), 1378 (m), 1233 (s), 1164 (w), 1102 (w), 1068 (w), 1023 (w), 879 (s), 853 (vs), 821 (s), 720 (vs), 646 (vs), 548 (w), 473 (w), 427 (m). MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (\%): 723 (1) [M$\left.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}, 608$ (2) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{In}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}, 289(46)\left[\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}, 115$ (100) [In] $]^{+}, 73$ (51) $\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{InN}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=984.81\right): \mathrm{C} 51.22, \mathrm{H}$ 8.29, N 5.69. Found: C 51.18, H 7.98, N 5.80.

Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 3 \mathbf{3} \mathbf{G a}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (6): A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{thf})\right](0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was carefully added to $\mathbf{1}$ $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The system was allowed to react without any stirring for 2 days. After decantation, $\mathbf{6}$ was obtained as orange crystals ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%$ ). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3349 (m), 3047 (w), 3014 (w), 2909 (vs), 2860 (w), 1595 (s), 1488 (s), 1448 (m), 1430 (m), 1376 (s), 1309 (w), 1204 (s), 1178 (w), 1067 (vs), 1026 (m), 996 (m), 894 (w), 839 (m), 798 (s), 751 (vs), 731 (vs), 697 (vs), 651 (vs), 591 (s), 539 (w), 517 (w), 462 (m), 447 (m), 420 (w). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta$ ): 12.50 (s br., 3 H ; NH), 7.13 (m, 6H; m-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 6.93 (m, 3H; p-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 6.64 (m, 6H; o-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 1.86 (s, 45H; $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), $1.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta$ ): 148.1 (m, ipso- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 127.5 $\left(\mathrm{m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}=156 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{o}^{\left.-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 127.1\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}=155 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{m} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 120.5\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}=159\right.}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz} ; \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 120.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 27.3\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}=129 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 12.2\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}=126 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$; $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ). MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 768 (1) $\left[\mathrm{M}-2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{+}, 676$ (7) $\left[\mathrm{M}-3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{+}, 609$ (29) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}, 541$ (8) $\left[\mathrm{M}-3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}$, 474 (6) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}$, 405 (10) $\left[\mathrm{M}-3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}$, 251 (41) $\left[\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$, 160 (15) $\left[\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{+}, 91(86)\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right]^{+}, 69(100)[\mathrm{Ga}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{GaN}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}\right.$ = 951.46): C 64.38, H 7.31, N 5.89. Found: C 64.48, H 7.42, N 5.72.

Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathbf{I n}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (7): In a fashion similar to the preparation of $\mathbf{6}$, treatment of $\mathbf{1}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\left[\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right](0.19 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 30 mL ) for 2 days afforded 7 as orange crystals $(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%)$. IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3349 (m), 3048 (w), 3014 (w), 2908 (vs), 2857 (w), 1595 (s), 1486 (s), 1448 (m), 1430 (m), 1376 (s), 1304 (w), 1261 (w), 1205 (vs), 1178 (w), 1029 (s), 1016 (s), 990 (s), 890 (w), 839 (m), 796 (s), 749 (vs), 731 (vs), 696 (vs), 652 (vs), 628 (w), 596 (s), 538 (w), 465 (m), 422 (m). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 12.69$ (s br., 3H; NH), 7.18 (m, 6H; m-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 6.91 (m, 3H; p-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 6.76 (m, 6H; o-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 1.91 (s, 45H; $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), $1.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 148.8\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, ipso- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 127.8$
 $\left.\mathrm{Hz} ; \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 120.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}=127 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 12.1\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}=125 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$; $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 722$ (6) $\left[\mathrm{M}-3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{+}, 608$ (2) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{In}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}$, 588 (5) $\left[\mathrm{M}-3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}, 451$ (9) $\left[\mathrm{M}-3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}$, 315 (22) $[\mathrm{M}-$ 3( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-3 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}, 115$ (100) [ In$]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{InN}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=996.55\right)$ : C 61.47, H 6.98, N 5.62. Found: C 61.07, H 7.01, N 4.96.

Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Al}_{4}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](9)$ : A 100 mL amber stained ampoule (Teflon stopcock) was charged with 3 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and toluene ( 40 mL ). After stirring at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days, the volatile components were removed under reduced pressure to afford $\mathbf{9}$ as a red solid $(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3377 (w), 3352 (w), 2948 (s), 2910 (vs), 2854 (s), 2721 (w), 1435 (m), 1375 (s), 1243 (s), 1024 (w), 971 (s), 827 (vs), 726 (vs), 699 (vs), 674 (vs), 652 (vs), 625 (m), 592 (w), 456 (m), 441 (m). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 9.18$ (s br., $1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{NH}$ ), $2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}$; $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), $2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 0.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right),-0.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{AlCH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 118.7,118.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 12.0,11.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 2.5\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)$, not observed $\mathrm{AlCH}_{2}$. MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 722 (42) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$, 609 (18) [M$\left.\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}, 73$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{AlN}_{4} \mathrm{SiTi}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=720.52\right)$. C 56.68, H 7.97, N 7.78. Found: C 56.50, H 8.24, N 7.13.

Thermal decomposition of $\mathbf{3}$ in a NMR tube scale experiment: A 5 mm amber stained Valved-NMR tube was charged with $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (3) $(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction course was monitored by NMR spectroscopy at different temperatures. After heating at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath for 4 hours, the spectra revealed new resonances assigned to the dialkylaluminum compound $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (10) and tetramethylsilane. Upon standing the tube at this temperature for 3 days, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed $\mathbf{1 0}$ as the major product (ca. $70 \%$ ) of a mixture of this complex
with $\mathbf{3}$ and 9 . After heating at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , the spectra revealed complete consumption of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ to give complex $\mathbf{9}$ and the corresponding two equivalents of $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$.

NMR data for $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{1 0}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 10.23$ ( s br., $2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{NH}$ ), 2.05 (s, $30 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), 1.90 (s, $15 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), 0.33 (s, 18H; SiMe 3 ), -0.90 (s, 4H; AlCH 2 ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 120.1$, 119.2 ( $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), 12.3, $11.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 4.1$ ( $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ), not observed $\mathrm{AlCH}_{2}$.

## Thermal decomposition of $\left.\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathbf{I n}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (7): In

 solution: A 100 mL Carius tube was charged with $7(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and toluene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The tube was flame-sealed and heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The tube was opened in the glovebox and the solution filtered. The volatile components of the solution were removed under reduced pressure to give a sticky orange solid. Analysis of the solid by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy showed resonances for complex $\left[\operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{1 2}),{ }^{16}$ along with those due to bibenzyl, assigned by comparison with spectra obtained from a commercially available bibenzyl sample.In the solid state: Complex $7(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated in a horizontal tube furnace at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under dynamic vacuum (ca. 0.1 mm Hg ) for 12 h . Examination of the orange residue ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ) by NMR spectroscopy revealed complete consumption of 7 and resonances for pure 12.

NMR data for $\left[\operatorname{In}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{1 2}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, ס): 11.61 (s br., $2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{NH}$ ), 2.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, 30 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), 1.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 15 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 117.9,117.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 12.0,11.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$.

NMR data for bibenzyl: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 7.15-6.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.73$ (s, $\left.4 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 142.0\left(\right.$ ipso-C $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{o}^{-} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 128.6$ $\left(\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 126.2\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 38.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{MeCl}_{2} \mathrm{Al}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (13): A solution of [ $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ] ( 1 M in hexane) ( $1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to $\mathbf{1}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 20 mL ) in an amber stained Schlenk flask. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour to give the precipitation of an orange solid. This solid was isolated by filtration onto a glass frit and vacuum-dried to afford $\mathbf{1 3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ as an orange powder $(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3359 (m), 3345 (w), 3271 (w), 2912 (vs), 2857 (m), 1605 (w), 1494 (w), 1428 (m), 1377 (s), 1261 (w), 1176 (m), 1067 (w), 1024 (m), 894 (s), 738 (vs), 713 (s), 659 (vs), 619 (vs), 557 (w), 487 (w), 466 (s), 424 (s). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta$ ): 11.75 (s br., 3H; NH), 2.07 (s, 45H; C $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), -1.14 (s, 3H; AlMe). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right)$ : $121.6\left(C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 12.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$, -4.34 (broad AlMe). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=813.40\right)$ : C 56.11, H 7.31, N 6.89. Found: C 56.00, H 7.22, N 6.72.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \operatorname{ClAl}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]$ (14): A solution of [ $\mathrm{AlClMe}_{2}$ ] ( 1 M in hexane) $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a solution of $\mathbf{1}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform- $\mathrm{d}_{1}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an amber stained Schlenk flask. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 minutes to give an orange solution. The volatile components were removed under reduced pressure to give $\mathbf{1 4}$ as an orange solid $(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3361(\mathrm{~m})$, 3267 (m), 2913 (vs), 1488 (w), 1428 (m), 1378 (s), 1261 (w), 1174 (m), 1067 (m), 1023 (m), 867 (m), 735 (vs), 663 (vs), 618 (vs), 524 (w), 460 (m). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ס): 11.66 (s br., $3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{NH}$ ), 1.98 (s, 45H; C5Me5), -1.33 (s, 6H; AlMe). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 122.8\left(C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 12.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$, not observed $\mathrm{AlCH} 3 . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV})$ : m/z (\%): 669 (1) $[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{MeH}]^{+}, 648$ (14) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{MeH}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}, 513$ (14) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{MeH}-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}$, 377 (29) [M-MeH-Cl-2C5 $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}$, 91 (50) [ $\left.\mathrm{AlClMe}_{2}\right]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{AlClN}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=700.85\right)$ : C 54.84, H 7.77, N 7.99. Found: C 54.27, H 7.52, N 6.47.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Ge}\left\{\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{NH})_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right](16)$ : A 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with $\left[\operatorname{Li}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NH}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)\right\}\right]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, $\left[\mathrm{GeClMe}_{3}\right](0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ and toluene $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at room temperature for 24 h the solution was filtered and the volatile components were removed under reduced pressure to afford 16 as an orange solid ( $0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ). IR $(\mathrm{KBr}$, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3348 (w), 2907 (vs), 2855 (s), 2719 (w), 1490 (w), 1433 (m), 1374 (s), 1261 (w), 1225 (m), 1065 (w), 1024 (m), 878 (m), 795 (vs), 758 (s), 710 (vs), 672 (vs), 645 (vs), 620 (s), 592 (m), 566 (m), 524 (s), 475 (w), 451 (m), 413 (m). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\delta$ ): 14.19 (s br., 2H; NH), 2.09 (s, 30H; $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), 1.91 (s, $15 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), 0.31 (s, 9 H ; $\left.\mathrm{GeMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right): 117.5,117.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 12.2,11.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$, 7.5 (GeMe3). MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 726 (3) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 609$ (21) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{GeMe}_{3}\right]^{+}, 592$ (3) $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}, 458(10)\left[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}, 320(22)\left[\mathrm{M}-3 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right]^{+}, 119$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{GeMe}_{3}\right]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{GeN}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=725.04\right)$ : C 54.67, H 7.79, N 7.73. Found: C 54.69, H 7.72, N 6.87.

X-ray structure determination of $6,7,9,13,15$ and 16. Orange crystals of 6 and 7 were grown in toluene at room temperature as described in the experimental section. Red crystals of complex 9 and orange crystals of 13, which crystallized with one molecule of toluene, were obtained from toluene solutions at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Finally, orange crystals of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ were grown from a pentane solution at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals were removed from the Schlenks and covered with a layer of a viscous perfluoropolyether (Fomblin®Y). A suitable crystal was selected with the aid of a microscope, attached to a glass fiber, and immediately placed in the low temperature nitrogen stream of the diffractometer. The intensity data sets were collected on a Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryostream 700 unit. The molybdenum radiation was used in all cases, graphite monochromated and enhanced with a

MIRACOL collimator. Crystallographic data for all the complexes are presented in Table 5 (see page 29).

Multiscan ${ }^{39}(6,7$ and 9$)$ or analytical ${ }^{40}(\mathbf{1 3}, 15$ and 16) absorption correction procedures were applied to the data. The structures were solved, using the WINGX package, ${ }^{41}$ by direct methods (SHELXS- $97^{42}$ for 6, 7, 9 and 16, $\operatorname{SIR} 97^{43}$ for 13) or Patterson techniques (SHELXS-97 for $\mathbf{1 5})^{42}$ and refined by least-squares against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ (SHELXL-97). ${ }^{42}$

All non-hydrogen atoms of $\mathbf{6}$ were anisotropically refined. All the hydrogen atoms of the imido and benzyl groups were located in the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. The hydrogen atoms of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl rings were positioned geometrically and refined by using a riding model.

In a similar treatment to that of $\mathbf{6}$, all non-hydrogen atoms of 7 were anisotropically refined. All the hydrogen atoms of the imido and methylene benzyl groups were located in the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. The hydrogen atoms of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl and phenyl rings were positioned geometrically and refined by using a riding model.

In the case of $\mathbf{9}$, all non-hydrogen atoms were anisotropically refined and the hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically and refined by using a riding model.

All the non-hydrogen atoms of $\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ were anisotropically refined. The hydrogen atoms of the imido groups were located in the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically while the rest were positioned geometrically and refined by using a riding model.

Supporting Information Available. X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format for complexes $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 3}, 15$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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Table 5. Experimental data for the X-ray diffraction studies on 6, 7, 9, 13. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}, 15$ and 16.

| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{GaN}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}$ (6) | $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{InN}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}$ (7) | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{AlN}_{4} \mathrm{SiTi}_{3}(\mathbf{9})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3} \\ & (\mathbf{1 3} \cdot \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{H 8}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SnTi}_{3}(\mathbf{1 5})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{GeN}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{3}(\mathbf{1 6})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{\mathrm{r}}$ | 951.52 | 996.62 | 720.61 | 813.47 | 771.21 | 725.11 |
| $T[\mathrm{~K}]$ | 200(2) | 100(2) | 200(2) | 150(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| $\lambda[\AA]$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71069 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic |
| space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$ | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$ | P2(1)/n | P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | P-1 | P-1 |
| $a\left[\AA{ }^{\text {a }}\right.$ ]; $\alpha\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 11.848(2); | 11.841(2); | 11.135(1); | 18.467(5); | 11.512(1); 95.60(1) | 11.029(2); 111.66(1) |
| $b[\AA] ; \beta\left[^{\circ}\right]$ | 17.045(3); 91.38(1) | 17.002(2); 91.44(1) | 23.600(3); 101.56(1) | 11.320(5); 103.73(1) | 12.096(1); 100.52(1) | 17.912(2); 90.19(1) |
| $c[\AA] ; \gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | $24.126(5)$ | $24.252(3)$ | 15.116(1); | 20.250(5); | $13.315(2) ; 98.43(1)$ | 19.780(1); 102.22(1) |
| $V\left[\AA^{3}\right]$ | 4871.0(15) | 4881(1) | 3891.5(7) | 4112(2) | $1788.3(3)$ | $3535.1(8)$ |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }}\left[\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.298 | 1.356 | 1.230 | 1.314 | 1.432 | 1.362 |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{MoK} \alpha}\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 1.058 | 0.977 | 0.681 | 0.751 | 1.362 | 1.522 |
| $F(000)$ | 2000 | 2072 | 1528 | 1712 | 796 | 1520 |
| crystal size [mm] | $0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$ | $0.52 \times 0.33 \times 0.33$ | $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.13$ | $0.67 \times 0.42 \times 0.19$ | $0.69 \times 0.43 \times 0.09$ | $0.55 \times 0.32 \times 0.21$ |
| $\theta$ range | $3.05 \text { to } 27.50^{\circ}$ | $5.02 \text { to } 27.50^{\circ}$ | $5.06 \text { to } 20.00^{\circ}$ | $3.15 \text { to } 27.52^{\circ}$ | $5.10 \text { to } 27.50^{\circ}$ | $5.01 \text { to } 27.00^{\circ}$ |
| index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -15 \text { to } 15,-22 \text { to } 21, \\ & -31 \text { to } 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -15 \text { to } 15,-22 \text { to } 22, \\ & -31 \text { to } 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \text { to } 10,-22 \text { to } 22, \\ & -14 \text { to } 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -23 \text { to } 23,-14 \text { to } 14, \\ & -26 \text { to } 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -14 \text { to } 14,-15 \text { to } 15, \\ & -17 \text { to } 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -14 \text { to } 14,-22 \text { to } 22, \\ & -25 \text { to } 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 39326 | 38873 | 13850 | 82307 | $67791$ | $104445$ |
| Unique data | $11173\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.101\right]$ | $11138\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.074\right]$ | $3572\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.089\right]$ | $9442\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.151\right]$ | $8166\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.188\right]$ | $15301\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.145\right]$ |
| obsd data $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $7970$ | $8070$ | $2472$ | $7084$ | $6444$ | $11405$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.912 | 0.944 | 1.041 | 1.056 | 1.093 | 1.125 |
| final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.044 \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.097 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.040 \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.079 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.054, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.126 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.048, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.052, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.126 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.056, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.140 \end{aligned}$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.075, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.071, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.092, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.149 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R 1=0.076, \\ & w R 2=0.124 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.072, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.139 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.087, \\ & \mathrm{wR} 2=0.168 \end{aligned}$ |
| largest diff. Peak/hole [e. $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.533/-0.495 | 0.802/-0.582 | 0.341/-0.386 | 0.499/-0.615 | 1.544/-1.988 | 1.732/-0.985 |
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[^0]:    *Averaged values

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} R 1=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{0}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| /\left[\Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}_{0}\right|\right]$
    $w R 2=\left\{\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$

