

Factoring analytic multivariate polynomials and non-standard Cauchy-Riemann conditions

Tomas Recio^a J. Rafael Sendra^b Luis Felipe Tabera^{a,*}
Carlos Villarino^b

^a*Dpto. de Matemáticas, Universidad de Cantabria, 39071, Santander. Spain.*

^b*Dpto. de Matemáticas, Universidad de Alcalá, 28871, Alcalá de Henares. Spain.*

Abstract

Motivated by previous work on the simplification of parametrizations of curves, in this paper we generalize the well known notion of analytic polynomial (a bivariate polynomial $P(x, y)$, with complex coefficients, which arises by substituting $z \rightarrow x + iy$ on a univariate polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, i.e. $p(z) \rightarrow p(x + iy) = P(x, y)$) to other finite field extensions, beyond the classical case of $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. In this general setting we obtain different properties on the factorization, *gcd*'s and resultants of analytic polynomials, which seem to be new even in the context of Complex Analysis. Moreover, we extend the well-known Cauchy-Riemann conditions (for harmonic conjugates) to this algebraic framework, proving that the new conditions also characterize the components of generalized analytic polynomials.

Key words: Cauchy-Riemann conditions, analytic polynomials, factorization

* **The journal version of this paper appears in Mathematics and Computers in Simulation 104 (2014) 43-57. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2013.03.013/>)**

* Corresponding author: fax +34 942 201 402

Email addresses: tomas.recio@unican.es (Tomas Recio),
rafael.sendra@uah.es (J. Rafael Sendra), taberalf@unican.es (Luis Felipe Tabera), carlos.villarino@uah.es (Carlos Villarino).

URLs: <http://www.recio.tk> (Tomas Recio), <http://www2.uah.es/rsendra/> (J. Rafael Sendra), <http://personales.unican.es/taberalf/> (Luis Felipe Tabera).

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

1 Introduction

The well known Cauchy-Riemann (in short: CR) equations provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a complex function $f(z)$ to be holomorphic (c.f. [2], [5]). One traditional framework to introduce the CR conditions is through the consideration of *harmonic conjugates*, $\{u(x, y), v(x, y)\}$, as the real and imaginary parts of a holomorphic function $f(z)$, after performing the substitution $z \rightarrow x + iy$ (i denotes the imaginary unit), yielding $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + i v(x, y)$. The Cauchy-Riemann conditions are a cornerstone in Complex Analysis and an essential ingredient of its many applications to Physics, Engineering, etc.

In this paper, we will consider two different, but related, issues. One, we will generalize CR conditions by replacing the real/complex framework by some more general field extensions and, two, we will address –in this new setting– the specific factorization properties of conjugate harmonic polynomials. Let us briefly describe our approach to both topics in what follows.

An *analytic polynomial* (a terminology taken from popular textbooks in Complex Analysis, see e.g. [2]), is a bivariate polynomial $P(x, y)$, with complex coefficients, which arises by substituting $z \rightarrow x + iy$ on a univariate polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, i.e. $p(z) \rightarrow p(x + iy) = P(x, y)$. As stated above, a goal of our paper deals with generalizing CR conditions when suitably replacing the pair real/complex numbers by some other field extension. For a simple example, take as base field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$ and then $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$, with α such that $\alpha^3 + 2 = 0$. Then we will consider polynomials (or more complicated functions) $f(z) \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)[z]$ and perform the substitution $z = x_0 + x_1\alpha + x_2\alpha^2$, yielding $f(x_0 + x_1\alpha + x_2\alpha^2) = u_0(x_0, x_1, x_2) + u_1(x_0, x_1, x_2)\alpha + u_2(x_0, x_1, x_2)\alpha^2$, where, $u_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$. Finally, we will like to find the necessary and sufficient conditions on a collection of polynomials $\{u_i(x_0, x_1, x_2)\}_{i=0,1,2}$ to be, as above, the *components* of the expansion of a polynomial $f(z)$ in the given field extension.

More generally, suppose \mathbb{K} is a field, $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{K} , and α is an algebraic element over \mathbb{K} of degree $r + 1$. In this context we proceed, first, generalizing the concept of analytic polynomial as follows (see also [1],[7], as well as Definition 1 below, for a more general, multivariate, definition):

A polynomial $p(x_0, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ is called α -analytic if there exists a polynomial $f(z) \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}[z]$ such that

$$f(x_0 + x_1\alpha + \dots + x_r\alpha^r) = p(x_0, \dots, x_r).$$

We say that f is the generating polynomial of p . An analytic polynomial can be uniquely written as

$$p(x_0, \dots, x_r) = u_0(x_0, \dots, x_r) + u_1(x_0, \dots, x_r)\alpha + \dots + u_r(x_0, \dots, x_r)\alpha^r,$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_r]$. The polynomials u_i are called the \mathbb{K} -components of $p(x_0, \dots, x_r)$.

The main result in this setting is the following statement (and its generalization to an even broader setting) expressing non-standard C-R conditions (see Definition 16 and Theorem 20):

Let $\{u_0, \dots, u_r\}$ be the \mathbb{K} -components of a α -analytic polynomial $p(x_0, \dots, x_r)$. It holds that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_0} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_r} \end{pmatrix} = H_i \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_0} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial x_0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 0, \dots, r$$

where H_i the Hankel matrix introduced in Section 3. And, conversely, if these equations hold among a collection of polynomials u_i , then they are the \mathbb{K} -components of an analytic polynomial.

As expected, the above statement gives, in the complex case, the well known CR conditions. In fact, let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha = \mathbf{i}$, and $P(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1]$ be an analytic polynomial. If u_0, u_1 are the real and imaginary parts of P , the above Theorem states that

$$\nabla u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_0} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_0} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_0} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is a matrix form expression of the classic CR equations:

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_1} = -\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_0}, \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_0}$$

It might be interesting to remark that the square matrix, expressing the above non-standard C-R conditions, is a Hankel matrix (see [6] or Chapter 7 in [8]), an ubiquitous companion of Computer Algebra practitioners.

A computational relevant context (and in fact our original motivation) of our work about generalized analytic polynomials is the following situation. Consider a rational function $f(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{z})$ in several complex variables and with complex coefficients, then perform the substitution $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}$ and compute the real and imaginary parts of the resulting *analytic rational function* $f(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}) = u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + i v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. These two rational functions in $\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ involve, usually, quite huge expressions, so it is reasonable to ask if there is a possibility of simplifying them by canceling out some common factors of the involved numerators and denominators. Such functions appear quite naturally when working with complex parametrizations of curves (see [3], and [4] for parametrizations with coefficients over a more general algebraic extension), and the key to attempt showing that some time-consuming steps could be avoided is, precisely, the analysis of the potential common factors for the two numerators of u, v . Learning about factorization properties of harmonic polynomials is useful in this respect. In fact, as a consequence of our study we can prove here that the assertion $\gcd(\text{numer}(u), \text{numer}(v)) = 1$ holds under reasonable assumptions and also that, if a rational function $f(\mathbf{z})$ in *prime* (also called *irreducible*) form is given, then the standard way of obtaining u and v yields also rational functions in prime form, i.e. not simplifiable.

More generally, in this paper we study (see Section 2) the factorization properties of generalized analytic polynomials, showing, among other remarkable facts, that conjugate harmonic polynomials cannot have a common factor (see Corollary 8). This seems a quite fundamental (and interesting) result, but we were not able to find a reference about it in the consulted bibliography within the Complex Variables context, probably because it requires an algebraic approach which is usually missing in the traditional Complex Analysis framework. On the other hand we can generalize this result (in the subsections 2.1 and 2.2) from polynomials to other functions (several variables, germs of holomorphic functions at a point, entire functions), all of them having in common being elements of rings with some factorization properties.

For expository reasons, we have chosen to structure this paper differently from the way we have presented the introduction, starting, first (see Section 2), by the notion of α -analytic multivariate polynomials and studying their basic algebraic properties; in particular those concerning factorization, *gcd*'s and resultants. Then, in the last Section 3, we present the generalization of CR conditions to this new setting and we show that they (the new conditions) characterize components of α -analytic multivariate polynomials (cf. Theorem 20). Moreover, as in the classical Complex Variables context, we can deduce again, from this non-standard CR conditions, some important properties of analytic polynomials (cf. Theorem 22).

Throughout this paper, the following terminology is used. \mathbb{K} is a field, $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{K} , and α is an algebraic element over \mathbb{K} of degree

$r + 1$. Also, $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$, for $i = 0, \dots, r$, $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, and $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r)$. Similarly $\mathbf{0}$ is the origin of \mathbb{K}^n .

2 Algebraic Analysis of α -Analytic Multivariate Polynomials

In this section we start by introducing the notion of α -analytic polynomial. Then we see that the set of α -analytic polynomials over an arbitrary finite field extension forms a ring, indeed a unique factorization domain, and we study some of its basic properties; in particular, those related to factorization issues.

Let \mathbb{K} a characteristic zero field. Let α be an algebraic element of degree $r + 1$ over \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{0i}, \dots, x_{ri})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, denote some tuples of variables. When adding these tuples or multiplying them by constants we will always perform the operations component-wise, i.e. $\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_j = (x_{0i} + x_{0j}, \dots, x_{ri} + x_{rj})$, $\alpha \mathbf{x}_i = (\alpha x_{0i}, \dots, \alpha x_{ri})$. Denote by \mathbf{X} the tuple $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ and by $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ a set of n variables.

Definition 1 *A polynomial $p(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$ is called (α) -analytic if there exists a polynomial $f(\mathbf{z}) \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}[\mathbf{z}]$ such that*

$$f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha^r \mathbf{x}_r) = p(\mathbf{X}).$$

We say that $f(\mathbf{z})$ is the generating polynomial of $p(\mathbf{X})$. If the number of variables \mathbf{x}_i in \mathbf{X} is $n > 1$, we say that the analytic polynomial is multivariate. An analytic polynomial can be uniquely written as

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = u_0(\mathbf{X}) + u_1(\mathbf{X})\alpha + \dots + u_r(\mathbf{X})\alpha^r,$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$. The polynomials u_i are called the \mathbb{K} -components of $p(\mathbf{X})$.

Notice the generating polynomial is not required to belong to $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{z}]$, but to $\overline{\mathbb{K}}[\mathbf{z}]$, see Corollary 4. The following result gives a simple criterion to decide whether a polynomial is analytic.

Lemma 2 (Characterization of α -analytic polynomials) *A polynomial $p(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$ is analytic if and only if*

$$p(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha^r \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}) = p(\mathbf{X})$$

if and only if for any (equivalently all) $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$,

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = p(0, \dots, 0, \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha^{j-i} \mathbf{x}_i, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Furthermore, in the affirmative case, the generating polynomial of $p(\mathbf{X})$ is $p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$ or, equivalently, $p(0, \dots, \mathbf{z}/\alpha^i, 0, \dots, 0)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$.

PROOF. If $p(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha^r \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}) = p(\mathbf{X})$, then $p(\mathbf{X})$ is the analytic polynomial generated by $p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$. Conversely, if $p(\mathbf{X})$ is analytic and $f(\mathbf{z})$ is its generating polynomial then

$$p\left(\sum_{i=0}^r \alpha^i \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=0}^r \alpha^i \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha^i \mathbf{0}\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=0}^r \mathbf{x}_i \alpha^i\right) = p(\mathbf{X}).$$

Therefore, $f(\mathbf{z}) = p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$. For any other index $1 \leq i \leq r$, the proof is similar. \square

A direct and very useful consequence of this lemma is the following result.

Corollary 3 *Let $p(\mathbf{X})$ be α -analytic generated by $f(\mathbf{z})$. Then p is constant if and only if f is constant.*

We observe that the set of α -analytic polynomials over $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$ is a subring of $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$. We denote it by $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$. Moreover, the set of its generating polynomials is a subring of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}[\mathbf{z}]$. We denote it by $\mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}]$. Now, in Definition 1 we have introduced the generating polynomials as polynomials with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$. However, from Lemma 2 one deduces that their coefficients are in $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$. Thus, we get the following equality.

Corollary 4 $\mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}] = \mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{z}]$.

As consequence of Lemma 2, we also deduce the following property that, in particular, implies that $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$ is a proper subring of $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$.

Corollary 5 $(\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}] \setminus \mathbb{K}) \cap \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}] = \emptyset$, *i.e. there are no analytic polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{K} other than constants.*

PROOF. Let $p(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}] \cap \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$ be non-constant. Let $f(\mathbf{z})$ be its generator. By Lemma 2, $f \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{z}]$. First we prove that there exists $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)^n$ such that $f(\bar{\gamma}) \notin \mathbb{K}$. From there, writing $\bar{\gamma}$ as $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma}_0 + \dots + \alpha^r \bar{\gamma}_r$, with $\bar{\gamma}_i \in \mathbb{K}^n$, we get that $f(\bar{\gamma}) = p(\bar{\gamma}_0, \dots, \bar{\gamma}_r) \in \mathbb{K}$, which is a contradiction. Since f is not constant (see Corollary 3), f depends on at least one variable z_i ; say w.o.l.g. on z_n . We express f as univariate polynomial in z_n as $f(\mathbf{z}) = A_m(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})z_n^m + \dots + A_0(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$, with $m > 0$. Now we take $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $A_m(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \neq 0$. Then, $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, z_n) \in \mathbb{K}[z_n]$ and is not constant. In this situation is clear that there exist $a_n \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha) \setminus \mathbb{K}$. So, $\bar{\gamma} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. \square

The following result states that the ring of analytic polynomials and the ring of generating polynomials are isomorphic.

Theorem 6 $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$ is $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$ -isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}]$.

PROOF. We consider the map $\phi : \mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$ such that $\phi(f(\mathbf{z})) = f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha\mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r)$. Clearly, ϕ is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, Lemma 2 ensures that ϕ is onto and injective. Furthermore, the restriction of ϕ to $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$ is the identity map. \square

Applying Theorem 6, we derive properties on factorization, *gcd*'s, and resultants of analytic polynomials. First we observe that, since $\mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}]$ is a unique factorization domain (UFD), and since ϕ (the $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$ -isomorphism introduced in the proof of Theorem 6) is an isomorphism preserving constants, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 7 $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$ is a unique factorization domain

Again, Theorem 6 can be used to relate the factors of an analytic polynomial to the factors of its generator. More precisely, one has the next result.

Corollary 8 (Factorization properties) Let $p(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$ be generated by $f(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}]$. It holds that

- (1) $p(\mathbf{X})$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$ iff $p(\mathbf{X})$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$.
- (2) $p(\mathbf{X})$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$ iff $f(\mathbf{z})$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{z}]$.
- (3) $f(\mathbf{z}) = f_1(\mathbf{z})^{n_1} \cdots f_s(\mathbf{z})^{n_s}$ is an irreducible factorization of f in $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{z}]$ iff $p(\mathbf{X}) = f_1(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r)^{n_1} \cdots f_s(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r)^{n_s}$ is an irreducible factorization of p in $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$.
- (4) $p(\mathbf{X})$ has no factor in $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$.
- (5) Let $\{u_i(\mathbf{X}), 0 \leq i \leq r\}$ be the \mathbb{K} -components of $p(\mathbf{X})$, so that $p = \sum_{i=0}^r u_i \alpha^i$. Then, $\gcd(u_0, \dots, u_r) = 1$.

PROOF. (1) The right-left implication is clear. Conversely, let p be irreducible as element in $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$. Now, assume that $p = AB$, where A, B are non-constant polynomials in $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$. Since p is analytic, by Lemma 2, $p(\mathbf{X}) = p(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}) = A(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})B(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$. Now observe that both $A(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$ and $B(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$ are analytic polynomials, $A(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}), B(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$. Thus, since p is irreducible as analytic polynomial, one of them has to be constant, say $A(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}) = \lambda \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)$. Then,

$$A(\mathbf{X})B(\mathbf{X}) = p(\mathbf{X}) = \lambda B(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$$

Finally, since $A(\mathbf{X})$ is not constant, this implies that the total degree of $B(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$ is greater than the total degree of $B(\mathbf{X})$, which is impossible.

Items (2) and (3) follow from (1) and Theorem 6. Item (4) is a consequence of (1) and Corollary 5. Item (5) follows from (4), since $\gcd(u_0, \dots, u_r)$ is a factor of p with coefficients in \mathbb{K} . \square

Similarly, one may relate the *gcd* of several analytic polynomials to the *gcd* of their generators.

Corollary 9 (Gcd formula) *Let $p_1, \dots, p_s \in \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$ be α -analytic polynomials generated by $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}]$, respectively. It holds that*

$$\gcd(p_1(\mathbf{X}), \dots, p_s(\mathbf{X})) = \gcd(f_1(\mathbf{z}), \dots, f_s(\mathbf{z}))(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r \mathbf{x}_r).$$

Finally, we study the computation of resultants of polynomials in $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}][w]$, i.e. of univariate polynomials with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$. For this purpose, we will refer to the natural extension $\phi^* : \mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}][w] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}][w]$ of the isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$ (introduced in the proof of Theorem 6) to these new polynomial rings. In this situation, one has the next corollary.

Corollary 10 (Resultant formula) *Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}][w]$ be generated, respectively, via ϕ^* , by $F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}][w]$. It holds that*

$$\text{Resultant}_w(P_1, P_2) = \text{Resultant}_w(F_1, F_2)(\mathbf{x}_0 + \cdots + \alpha^r \mathbf{x}_r).$$

PROOF. Let M be the Sylvester matrix associated to P_1, P_2 , and let N be the Sylvester matrix associated to F_1, F_2 . M is over $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$, and N is over $\mathbb{G}_\alpha[\mathbf{z}]$. Therefore, since a determinant only involves additions and multiplications in the corresponding ring, the result follows using Theorem 6. \square

2.1 The case of germs and entire functions

In this section we generalize the previous notions and results to germs of holomorphic functions and entire functions; thus, in this section we will consider always that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha = \mathbf{i}$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1 + \mathbf{i} b_1, \dots, a_n + \mathbf{i} b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{c} = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Furthermore, let $\mathfrak{G}_{n, \mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the local ring of complex holomorphic germs at the point \mathbf{a} ; recall that it is isomorphic to the ring of convergent power series centered at \mathbf{c} . Similar notation will be used to express other local rings of germs.

In this situation, if $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathfrak{G}_{n,\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we consider the complex holomorphic germ $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}) \in \mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ can always be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + i\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

where \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} are real holomorphic germs at \mathbf{c} (the real and imaginary parts of $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$). Thus, since the ring $\mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a unique factorization domain, one can study factorization questions for $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Furthermore, since the ring $\mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of real holomorphic germs at $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is also a unique factorization domain, one can consider the *gcd* of its elements; in particular the *gcd* of the germs \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} . In this context, (multiplicative) units play the role of constants (in the polynomial case); they are the germs that do not vanish at \mathbf{c} . Then it is easy to show that \mathbf{p} is a unit in $\mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ if and only if \mathbf{f} is a unit in $\mathfrak{G}_{n,\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, if and only if either \mathbf{u} or \mathbf{v} are units in $\mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, by the classical Cauchy-Riemann conditions, one has that \mathbf{p} is constant if and only if \mathbf{u} or \mathbf{v} are constants.

Proposition 11 *Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a nonconstant germ of the form $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$, for some $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathfrak{G}_{n,\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathbf{p} \notin \mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, it is not associated to any real-defined germ. That is, if $\mathbf{u} \in (\mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$ (the ring of units), then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{p} \notin \mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{R}}$.*

PROOF. If \mathbf{p} is a real-defined germ $\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) in an open neighborhood $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of \mathbf{c} . If this happens, then $\mathbf{f}(V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for an open neighborhood $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ of \mathbf{a} . But, from basic properties of analytic functions, \mathbf{f} (and \mathbf{p}) must be, then, constant functions, contradicting the hypothesis. For the second part, assume without loss of generality that $\mathbf{c} = (0, \dots, 0)$. Let $r \cdot z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n}$ be a term of minimal degree in the power series expansion of $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})$. In the power expansion of \mathbf{p} , we have as lowest degree terms $rx_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ and $iry_1 x_1^{i_1-1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$. If \mathbf{u} is a unit of $\mathfrak{G}_{2n,0}^{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\mathbf{u}(0) = b \neq 0$. Now, in the powers series expansion of $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ we get the coefficients br and ibr not both real. This proves that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ cannot be a real-defined germ. \square

We can now obtain a result analogous to Corollary 8, but in the context of germs.

Proposition 12 *Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{G}_{2n,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be as above, $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$. Then*

- \mathbf{p} is irreducible if and only if \mathbf{f} is irreducible.
- If $\mathbf{f} = f_1^{i_1} \cdots f_s^{i_s}$ is the irreducible factorization of \mathbf{f} then $\mathbf{p} = f_1^{i_1}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}) \cdots f_s^{i_s}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$ is the irreducible factorization of \mathbf{p} .
- \mathbf{p} has no real-defined factor.

PROOF. Clearly $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, 0) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$ and, if \mathbf{f} is reducible, the \mathbf{p} is reducible. Now, assume that \mathbf{p} is reducible and $\mathbf{p} = A \cdot B$, A and B

non-units. Then $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}) = A(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, 0)B(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, 0)$. If $A(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, 0)$ were a unit, then $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}) = \lambda B(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, 0)$, so the order of \mathbf{p} at \mathbf{c} equals the order of B and the order of A at \mathbf{c} is zero. So A would be a unit which is a contradiction. So $A(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, 0)$ is not a unit and, by symmetry, $B(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$ is neither a unit. It follows that $\mathbf{f} = A(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, 0)B(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, 0)$ is reducible.

The second item follows directly from the first one and the third item follows from the first item and Proposition 11. \square

Now, we proceed to extend this result to entire functions, that is, functions holomorphic at every point of \mathbb{C}^n . For this purpose, one considers entire functions in \mathbb{R}^{2n} generated by entire functions in \mathbb{C}^n , that is, entire functions $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ in \mathbb{R}^{2n} such that there exists an entire function $f(\mathbf{z})$ in \mathbb{C}^n and $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$. In this situation, we study the existence of real non-constant factors. Here, “*real non-constant factors*” means non-unit elements in the ring of real-defined functions, analytic everywhere in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . More precisely, one has the following result

Proposition 13 *Let $f(\mathbf{z})$ be a nonzero entire function in \mathbb{C}^n , and let $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$. Then, there exists no decomposition of the form $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, where k is a non-unit, real-defined function, analytic at every point of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , and h is a complex valued, entire function in \mathbb{C}^{2n} .*

PROOF. Let us assume that such decomposition $p = k \cdot h$ exists. Then, there is $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that $k(\mathbf{c}) = 0$. We consider now the complex germ \mathbf{p} of p at \mathbf{c} , $\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{G}_{2n, \mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and the real germ \mathbf{k} of k at \mathbf{c} , $\mathbf{k} \in \mathfrak{G}_{2n, \mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Then, since k vanishes at \mathbf{c} , it follows that \mathbf{k} is not a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{2n, \mathbf{c}}^{\mathbb{R}}$, and \mathbf{k} is a factor of \mathbf{p} , which is impossible by Proposition 12. \square

2.2 An Application to α -Analytic Multivariate Rational Functions

As in Section 2 and following the notation thereof, a rational function $A(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)(\mathbf{X})$ will be called (α) -analytic if there exists a rational function $B(\mathbf{z}) \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbf{z})$ such that

$$B(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \alpha^r \mathbf{x}_r) = A(\mathbf{X}).$$

We say that $B(\mathbf{z})$ is the *generating rational function* of A . If the number of variables of \mathbf{X} is $n > 1$, we say that the analytic rational function is *multivariate*. In [3], a complete analysis for i -analytic univariate rational functions is given, in the context of a reparametrization problem for curves. An analogous treatment shows that these results can be extended to the α -analytic multivariate case.

Now, in the application of hypercircle theory to a certain reparametrization problem (see, for more details, [1], [4]), the following situation happens. Let $f = a(\mathbf{z})/b(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)(\mathbf{z})$ be a rational function. Then, we want to compute the \mathbb{K} -components of $\phi(f) \in \mathbb{A}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$ (where ϕ is the extension to rational functions of the isomorphism introduced in Theorem 6). One way to proceed is first apply the change of variables $p = a(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r)$, $q = b(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha^r\mathbf{x}_r)$, and then compute Q , the multiple of q of smallest degree that has all its coordinates in \mathbb{K} . It is easy to verify that Q is a divisor of the norm $\|q\|$ of q for the extension $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}] \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}](\alpha)$. Let $R = Q/q \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$. It follows that $\phi(f) = p \cdot R/Q$ and the \mathbb{K} -components are $\phi(f) = \sum_{i=0}^r (u_i/Q)\alpha^i$, where u_i are the \mathbb{K} -components of $p \cdot R$. This is a mathematically valid representation, but it is desirable for applications –such as the ones mentioned above– that $\gcd(u_0, \dots, u_r, Q) = 1$. The interesting fact is that this is the case under natural assumptions.

Theorem 14 *Assume that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ in the previous construction, then the above procedure to compute the \mathbb{K} -components verifies that $\gcd(u_0, \dots, u_r, Q) = 1$.*

PROOF. Note that, in general, $p \cdot R$ need not be α -analytic, so we can not use Corollary 8 directly. Since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then, by Corollary 9, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and $\gcd(p \cdot R, q \cdot R) = R$. If $c = \gcd(u_i, Q)$ then c is a polynomial with coefficients over \mathbb{K} and $c|R$, but R does not have factors over \mathbb{K} . To prove this, let d be a factor of R with coefficients in \mathbb{K} irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$. Then d is also a factor of Q and, by construction of Q , $\gcd(d, q) \neq 1$. Let g be an irreducible factor of $\gcd(d, q)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$ which has maximal multiplicity as a factor of q , say, $q = g^l q_1$, $\gcd(g, q_1) = 1$. Let G be the minimum multiple of g with coefficients over \mathbb{K} . Then $G|d$, but d is irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$, so $G = d$. It follows that $Q = d^l Q_1$, $\gcd(d, Q_1) = 1$. But then, $R = Q/q$ does not have g as a factor, so d cannot be a factor of R . \square

3 Non-Standard Cauchy-Riemann Conditions

In this final section we show how the well known Cauchy–Riemann holomorphic conditions can be generalized for the case of arbitrary finite field extensions, and we deduce some important facts on analytic polynomials. For this

purpose, we introduce the following $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$ Hankel matrices

$$H_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1,i} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & 0 & a_{1,i} & \dots & a_{i,i} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & a_{1,i} & a_{2,i} & \dots & a_{i,i} \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{1,i} & a_{2,i} & \dots & \dots & \dots & a_{r,i} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, r$$

where

$$\alpha^{r+i} = \sum_{j=0}^r a_{i,j} \alpha^j, \text{ with } a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{K} \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Remark 15 *Note that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \dots & \alpha^r \\ \alpha & \alpha^2 & \dots & \alpha^{r+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha^r & \alpha^{r+1} & \dots & \alpha^{2r} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha^i H_i$$

In this situation we introduce the following definition

Definition 16 *We say that $p(\mathbf{X}) = u_0(\mathbf{X}) + \dots + u_r(\mathbf{X})\alpha^r \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$, with $u_i \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$, satisfies the non-standard Cauchy-Riemann conditions (in short: NS-CR) if, for $i = 0, \dots, r$, it holds that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_{0,1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_{0,n}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_{r,1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_{r,n}} \end{pmatrix} = H_i \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{0,1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{0,n}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial x_{0,1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial x_{0,n}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

We will use the following simpler notation for the above conditions:

$$M_i^L = H_i M^D$$

Example 17 *Let $\alpha^3 + 2 = 0$ and $p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ be an α -analytic polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[x_0, x_1, x_2]$, generated by some $f(z)$, with $z = x_0 + x_1\alpha + x_2\alpha^2$. Let*

$p = u_0(x_0, y_0, z_0) + u_1(x_0, y_0, z_0)\alpha + u_2(x_0, y_0, z_0)\alpha^2$ be its components. Then u_0, u_1, u_2 satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} &= -2\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x}, & \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} &= \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_0}, & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} &= \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_0}, \\ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_2} &= -2\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_0}, & \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} &= -2\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_0}, & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} &= \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_0},\end{aligned}$$

Remark 18 Let us verify that we get, in particular, the usual CR conditions from the NS-CR conditions. We consider that $\alpha = \mathbf{i}$, $n = 1$ and $r + 1 = 2$. In this context we usually express $z = x + \mathbf{i}y$, but here, following the notation introduced for the general case, we will use $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1)$, with $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_{0,1})$, $\mathbf{x}_1 = (x_{1,1})$. Let $p(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{X}]$ be expressed as

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = u_0(\mathbf{X}) + \mathbf{i}u_1(\mathbf{X}), \quad \text{with } u_0, u_1 \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{X}].$$

In order to construct the Hankel matrices H_i , note that $\mathbf{i}^2 = -1$, and thus $a_{1,0} = -1$, and $a_{1,1} = 0$. Then we have

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore the NS-CR conditions are, in this case,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{0,1}} \\ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{1,1}} \end{pmatrix} = H_0 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{0,1}} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_{0,1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_{0,1}} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_{1,1}} \end{pmatrix} = H_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{0,1}} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_{0,1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

which yields

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{1,1}} = -\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_{0,1}}, \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_{1,1}} = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{0,1}}$$

that are the classical CR conditions.

In Lemma 2 we already have a characterization of analytic polynomials. The following theorem characterizes analytic polynomials in terms of the NS-CR conditions. First we show that the set of polynomials that satisfy NS-CR is closed under derivation.

Lemma 19 Let $p(\mathbf{X})$ satisfy NS-CR. Then $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{ij}}$ also satisfy NS-CR.

PROOF. Just take the equation 1 and compute derivatives with respect to x_{ij} . \square

Theorem 20 Let $p(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha)[\mathbf{X}]$. The following statements are equivalent

- (1) $p \in \mathbb{A}_\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$, generated by some $f(\mathbf{z})$.
(2) p satisfies the non-standard Cauchy-Riemann conditions.

PROOF. Let us see that (1) implies (2). Let $C_j^{i,L}$ and C_j^R the j -column of M_i^L and M^R , respectively (see Definition 16). Then, it is enough to prove that $C_j^{i,L} = H_i C_j^R$ for $i = 0, \dots, r$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. For $j = 1, \dots, n$, let M be the matrix whose columns are $(C_j^{0,L}, \dots, C_j^{r,L})$; that is

$$M_j = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{0,j}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial x_{0,j}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{r,j}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial x_{r,j}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing partial derivatives w.r.t. $x_{0,j}, \dots, x_{r,j}$ in the equality

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{k=0}^r \alpha^k u_k(\mathbf{X}) = f\left(\sum_{k=0}^r \alpha^k \mathbf{x}_k\right)$$

one gets that

$$M_j \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^r \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} \left(\sum_{k=0}^r \alpha^k \mathbf{x}_k\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^r \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, from the equality

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} \left(\sum_{k=0}^r \alpha^k \mathbf{x}_k\right) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{0,j}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^r \frac{\partial u_\ell}{\partial x_{0,j}} \alpha^\ell$$

one obtains that $\sum_{\ell=0}^r \alpha^\ell C_j^{\ell,L} =$

$$M_j \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \cdots & \alpha^r \\ \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^{r+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha^r & \alpha^{r+1} & \cdots & \alpha^{2r} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_{0,j}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial x_{0,j}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \cdots & \alpha^r \\ \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^{r+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha^r & \alpha^{r+1} & \cdots & \alpha^{2r} \end{pmatrix} \cdot C_j^R$$

Thus, by Remark 15, we get

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^r \alpha^\ell C_j^{\ell,L} = \sum_{\ell=0}^r \alpha^\ell H_\ell \cdot C_j^R$$

Therefore, since $C_j^{\ell,R}, C_j^R$ are over $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$, and H_ℓ is over \mathbb{K} , we get

$$C_j^{i,L} = H_i \cdot C_j^R$$

concluding the proof of this implication.

Let us see that (2) implies (1). We express $p(\mathbf{X})$ as $p(\mathbf{X}) = p_m(\mathbf{X}) + \dots + p_0(\mathbf{X})$, where $p_k(\mathbf{X})$ is the homogeneous component of degree k of $p(\mathbf{X})$. Now, each homogeneous part $p_k(\mathbf{X})$ is written as

$$p_k(\mathbf{X}) = u_0^k(\mathbf{X}) + \dots + \alpha^r u_r^k(\mathbf{X})$$

where $u_j^k \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$ is homogeneous of degree k . Obviously

$$u_i = u_i^m + \dots + u_i^0.$$

Now, taking into account that the partial derivative of a homogeneous polynomial is again homogeneous and that two polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$ are equal iff their homogeneous components are equal, we deduce that the NS-CR conditions are also valid if we replace u_j by u_j^k ; that is p_k satisfies also the NS-CR conditions. So, our plan now is to prove that each p_k is analytic, from where one deduces that p is analytic. We will do it by induction in the degree, being the result trivial if $k = 0$, since every constant polynomial is α -analytic. From the NS-CR conditions, we get that for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $i = 0, \dots, r$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_i^k}{\partial x_{0,j}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_i^k}{\partial x_{r,j}} \end{pmatrix} = H_i \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_{0,j}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_r^k}{\partial x_{0,j}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, by Remark 15, we obtain, for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_{0,j}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_{r,j}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \dots & \alpha^r \\ \alpha & \alpha^2 & \dots & \alpha^{r+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha^r & \alpha^{r+1} & \dots & \alpha^{2r} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_{0,j}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_r^k}{\partial x_{0,j}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_{0,j}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^r \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, applying Euler's formula and the previous identities, we get

$$p_k = \frac{1}{k} \left((x_{0,1} + \dots + \alpha^r x_{r,1}) \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_{0,1}} + \dots + (x_{0,n} + \dots + \alpha^r x_{r,n}) \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_{0,n}} \right).$$

The partial derivative $\frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_{0,i}}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $< k$ that satisfies NS-CR, so, by induction hypothesis, $\frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_{0,i}}$ is α -analytic generated by $f_i(\mathbf{z})$. It follows that p_k is α -analytic generated by $(1/k)(z_1 f_1(\mathbf{z}) + \dots + z_n f_n(\mathbf{z}))$. \square

In Corollary 3, we have seen that an analytic polynomial is constant if and only if its generator is constant. In the complex case, an important application of the CR condition is that an analytic polynomial is constant if and only if either the real or the imaginary part is constant; that is, it is enough to check whether one of its component is constant. In the following we show that our NS-CR conditions yield to the same conclusion. For this purpose, we first state a technical lemma.

Lemma 21 $\det(H_i) \neq 0$.

PROOF. Let $m(t) = t^{r+1} - b_r t^r - \dots - b_1 t - b_0$ be the minimal polynomial of α . We see H_i as the finite Hankel Matrix associated to the $(2r + 1)$ -tuple $(0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 0, a_{1,i}, \dots, a_{r,i})$, and we extend it to the infinite Hankel matrix H_i^∞ generated by the sequence $\{0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 0, a_{1,i}, \dots, a_{r,i}, a_{r+1,i} = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{j,i} b_j, a_{r+2,i} = \sum_{j=0}^r a_{j+1,r} b_j, \dots\}$. In this situation, we observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \cdots & \alpha^r \\ \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^{r+1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha^r & \alpha^{r+1} & \cdots & \alpha^{2r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ \vdots \\ b_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{r+1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{2r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, by Remark 15,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{r+1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{2r} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha^i \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{r,i} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha^i H_i \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ \vdots \\ b_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, taking into account that $a_{i,j}, b_k \in \mathbb{K}$ one deduces that

$$H_i \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ \vdots \\ b_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{r,i} \end{pmatrix}$$

This, combined with the way $a_{k,i}$, with $k > r$, has been defined, will yield to the fact that the matrix is regular. More precisely, by the corollary to the Theorem 7.3.1. in [8], there exists a non-zero polynomial $q(t)$ of degree at most r such that H_i is associated to $\{q(t), m(t)\}$. Furthermore (see again Theorem 7.3.1. in [8]), $\text{rank}(H_i) = r + 1 - \text{deg}(\text{gcd}(m, q))$. Therefore, since m is irreducible over \mathbb{K} and $\text{deg}(q) < \text{deg}(m)$, $\det(H_i) \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 22 *An analytic polynomial p is constant if and only if at least one of its \mathbb{K} -components is constant.*

PROOF. If p is constant it is clear that all \mathbb{K} -components are constant. Conversely, let u_0, \dots, u_r be the \mathbb{K} -components of p , and let u_i be a constant. By Theorem 20, the NS-CR conditions are satisfied; let us denote them by $M_i^L = H_i M^R$. Now, by Lemma 21, $H_i^{-1} M_i^L = M^R$. Moreover, since u_i is constant, then M_i^L is the zero matrix, and hence M^R is also zero. Now, coming back to the NS-CR conditions we get that M_j^L is the zero matrix for $j = 0, \dots, r$. That is, all u_j are constant. \square

Remark 23 *Note that Corollary 5 can also be proved directly from Theorem 22. If \mathbf{p} is an α -analytic polynomial $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$, then its component associated to α is constant, so \mathbf{p} is a constant polynomial.*

Acknowledgements

The authors are partially supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), under the projects MTM2008-04699-C03-(01,03) and MTM2011-25816-C02-(01,02). Second and fourth authors belong to the Research Group ASYNACS (Ref. CCEE2011/R34).

References

- [1] Andradas C., Recio T., Sendra J.R. (1999), *Base field restriction techniques for parametric curves*, Proc. ISSAC 99 17–22, ACM Press.
- [2] Bak J., Newman D.J., (1982): *Complex Analysis*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York. Third Edition (2010).
- [3] Recio, T., Sendra, R., (1997): *Real Reparametrizations of Real Curves*. Journal of Symbolic Computation, no. 23, pp. 241-254.

- [4] Recio, T., Sendra, R., Tabera, L.F., Villarino, C., (2010): *Generalizing circles over algebraic extensions*, Mathematics of Computation, Vol. 79, No. 270, pp. 1067-1089.
- [5] Rudin W., (1974): *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill.
- [6] Sendra J.R. (1990): *Hankel Matrices and Computer Algebra*. ACM-SIGSAM Bulletin, Vol. 24 no.3 pp.17-26.
- [7] Sendra J.R., Villarino C. (2001), *Optimal Reparametrization of Polynomial Algebraic Curves*. International Journal of Computational Geometry and Applications Vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 439-454 (2001).
- [8] Winkler F. (1996). *Polynomials Algorithms in Computer Algebra*. Springer-Verlag, Wien, New York .