THE USE OF BIS(PENTACHLOROPHENYL)THALLIUM(III) CHLORIDE AS ARYLATING REAGENT

P. ROYO and R. SERRANO

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad, Murcia (Spain) (Received July 18th, 1977)

Summary

Bis(pentachlorophenyl)thallium(III) chloride has been used as an arylating reagent for several transition metal complexes in low oxidation states. The pentachlorophenyl-transition metal complexes thus prepared have been studied by visible-UV and IR spectroscopy.

Introduction

Pentachlorophenyl-transition metal complexes are usually prepared by treating the corresponding metal halides with pentachlorophenyllithium or bromopentachlorophenylmagnesium. Much difficulty was experienced with these reactions when attempts were made to involve two C_6Cl_5 groups [1–4], and only four complexes containing two of such groups are known [5–7]. The successful use of $BrTl(C_6F_5)_2$ as an arylating reagent [8–10] promted us to examine the oxidizing properties of $ClTl(C_6Cl_5)_2$ [11].

Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the transition metal complexes used and the corresponding reaction products. Thallous chloride was obtained in all cases.

The reaction of an excess of Hg_2Cl_2 leads to the isolation of $(C_6Cl_5)_2Hg$, which has been previously prepared [12,13]. From Ni(CO)₂(dpe) [dpe = bis-(1,2-diphenylphosphino)ethane] in equimolar quantities, the new $(C_6Cl_5)_2Ni$ -(dpe) is obtained with elimination of the two CO groups; it is noteworthy that this compound could not be prepared by Grignard method [3]. The reaction with $ClNi(PPh_3)_3$ in a molar ratio of 1/2 gives $Cl(C_6Cl_5)Ni(PPh_3)_2$ [1]. Again, elimination of PPh_3 , which can be recovered from the residual solution, preserves the four coordination at the metal atom. With trans- $ClRh(CO)(PPh_3)_2$, trans- $(C_6Cl_5)Rh(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ is obtained, but other rhodium derivatives are also detected. Thus, when the insoluble thallous chloride was filtered off and the

TABLE 1
REACTIONS OF (C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ TlCl WITH TRANSITION METAL COMPLEXES

Complex	Molar ratio Tl/complex	Reaction product
Hg ₂ Cl ₂	excess Hg ₂ Cl ₂	(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Hg
Ni(CO)2(dpe)	1/1	$(C_6Cl_5)_2Ni(dpe)$
ClNi(PPh ₃) ₃	1/2	Cl(C ₆ Cl ₅)Ni(PPh ₃) ₂
trans-ClRh(CO)(PPh3)2	1/1	trans-(C6Cl5)Rh(CO)(PPh3)2
ClAuPPh ₃	1/1	$Cl(C_6Cl_5)_2AuPPh_3 + (C_6Cl_5)AuPPh_3$

solution evaporated, a crystalline fraction was obtained. Its IR spectrum showed only PPh₃ bands and two $\nu(C\equiv O)$ absorptions at 1960 and 1980 cm⁻¹. When we try to separate this mixture by crystallization from chloroform/ethanol the only product isolated was trans-ClRh(CO)(PPh₃)₂. This can be explained by assuming that the initial crystalline solid is a mixture of cis- and trans-ClRh(CO)(PPh₃)₂, and in recrystallization the cis form is converted into the trans form, which is the only form known until now. When shorter reaction times are used (about 2 h) the IR spectrum of the solid residue obtained by evaporation shows, along with PPh₃ and C₆Cl₅ bands, an additional peak of medium intensity at 2100 cm⁻¹ assigned to an organorhodium(III) complex, which could not be isolated; C₆Cl₅H was recovered by sublimation of this residue. The overall reaction appears to be:

$$\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{O}) \text{ 1960}$$

$$\downarrow \text{cis-ClRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$$

$$\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{O}) \text{ 1980}$$

$$\downarrow \text{clTl} + \text{Cl}(\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_5)_2\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$$

$$\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{O}) \text{ 2100}$$

$$\downarrow \text{cis-ClRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$$

$$\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{O}) \text{ 1980}$$

$$\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{O}) \text{ 1975}$$

 $(C_6Cl_5)_2TlCl + trans-ClRh(CO)(PPh_3)_2 \rightarrow$

It seems clear that the cis-ClRh(CO)(PPh₃)₂ comes from the reductive elimination of the intermediate rhodium(III) complex and is recovered together with an excess of the initial trans complex, since isomerization of the trans compound must be excluded [14].

 $C_6Cl_5 \xrightarrow{H_2O} C_6Cl_5H$

The red $trans-(C_6Cl_5)Rh(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ isomerizes to the cis form by boiling in ethanol or by slow evaporation of its solutions in organic solvents. Reaction with $ClAuPPh_3$ produces $Cl(C_6Cl_5)_2AuPPh_3$ as the major product. This complex decomposes slowly in boiling benzene and so small amounts of $(C_6Cl_5)AuPPh_3$ [15] are also formed.

$$(C_6Cl_5)_2TlCl + ClAuPPh_3 \rightarrow ClTl + Cl(C_6Cl_5)_2AuPPh_3 + (C_6Cl_5)AuPPh_3$$

All the reactions described are analogous to those observed when $(C_6F_5)_2$ TlBr

TABLE 2
ANALYTICAL DATA FOR PENTACHLOROPHENYLMETAL COMPLEXES

Complex	Analyses (found ((calcd.) (%))			
	С	H	Cl	
(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Ni(dpe)	49.23	2,89	36.23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(47.75)	(2.53)	(37,09)	
trans-(C ₆ Cl ₅)Rh(CO)(PPh ₃) ₂	55.75	3.70	18.91	
	(56.21)	(3.29)	(19.29)	
cis-(C6Cl5)Rh(CO)(PPh3)2	56.48	3.80	19.53	
	(56, 21)	(3,29)	(19,29)	
Cl(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Au(PPh ₃)	37.21	1.63	39.86	
	(36.27)	(1.52)	(39.26)	

TABLE 3
PHYSICAL DATA AND CONDUCTIVITY OF PENTACHLOROPHENYL COMPLEXES

Complex	Color	M,p, (°C)	$\Lambda \text{ (ohm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})^a$ (c × 10 ⁻⁴ mol l ⁻¹)
(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Ni(dpe)	orange	244 (dec.)	0.75 (4.9)
trans-(C6Cl5)Rh(CO)(PPh3)2	red	173 (dec.)	1.56 (5,0)
$cis-(C_6Cl_5)Rh(CO)(PPh_3)_2$	yellow	189 (dec.)	0.73 (4.8)
Cl(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Au(PPh ₃)	white	194	1.49 (4.7)

a In acetone

TABLE 4
RELEVANT IR ABSORPTIONS (cm⁻¹) OF THE NEW PENTACHLOROPHENYL COMPLEXES

(C ₆ Cl ₅)Rh(CO)(PPh ₃) ₂		Assignment	
trans	cis		
1975vs	1975vs	ν(C ≡ O)	
1322vs	1326s)	, ,	
1312vs	1315vs		
1297s	1299s \		
1287s	1289s /	C ₆ Cl ₅	
840w	850w	- 0 3	
665m	665m		
600m	600m	δ(Rh-CO)	
450vw	445vw)	$\nu(Rh-P)$	
	435vw }	`	
(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Ni(dpe)	Cl(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Au(PPh ₃)		
1323s	1335s)		
1313vs	1320vs		
	1292vs		
1283s	1286vs >	C ₆ Cl ₅	
	850w	U - D	
	840w		
	670w J		
•	323m	ν(AuCl)	

TABLE 5
ELECTRONIC SOLUTION SPECTRA OF THE NEW PENTACHLOROPHENYLMETAL COMPLEXES

Complex	λ_{\max} (nm) (e) (l mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	
(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Ni(dpe) ^b	426(2300), 325(5000), 295(8400)	
trans-(C6Cl5)Rh(CO)(PPh3)2 a	533(200), 394(1800), 291(7600)	
cis-(C6Cl5)Rh(CO)(PPh3)2 a	530sh(40), 399(2400), 296(9700)	
Cl(C ₆ Cl ₅) ₂ Au(PPh ₃) a	300(7800)	

a In cyclohexane. b In chloroform.

was employed [8,10,19], although $(C_6Cl_5)_2TlCl$ is a less effective oxidant. The yields are lower, probably because the pentachlorophenylthallium(III) chloride is much less soluble. The known compounds were characterized by analysis and by comparing their spectral and physical properties with literature values. Analytical and physical data for the new complexes are given in Tables 2 and 3.

Conductivity

All these compounds are shown to be non-electrolytes by the conductivities are listed in Table 3.

Electronic and IR spectra

The IR spectra of the new complexes show the characteristic bands of the neutral ligand (PPh₃ or dpe) and the C_6Cl_5 group. The most relevant absorptions which are listed in Table 4 require little comment. The very weak bands near 450 cm⁻¹ in the rhodium complexes must be assigned to $\nu(Rh-P)$ and they enable the *cis* and *trans* isomers to be distinguished [16].

The visible-UV absorption bands of the isolated complexes in chloroform and cyclohexane are shown in Table 5. The band at 426 nm in the spectrum of the nickel(II) complex must be assigned to the ${}^{1}A_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{1}A_{2g}$ transition in a square planar environment [17], while the two remaining, more intense bands must involve charge transfer processes. The spectrum of both rhodium complexes are identical, and the similarity to the spectrum of $[Ir(dpe)_{2}]Cl$, whose planar structure has been confirmed by X-ray analysis [18], suggests an analogous structure for this compound. The spectrum of the gold complex does not show any band above 300 nm, as is usual for square planar gold complexes [17].

Experimental

IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin—Elmer 457 spectrophotometer (in the range 4000—250 cm⁻¹) using Nujol mulls between CsI plates. Electronic solution spectra were recorded on a Perkin—Elmer 124 spectrophotometer (over the range 800—270 nm). Conductivities were measured with a Phillips 9501/01 conductimeter. C, H, N analyses were carried out on a Perkin—Elmer 240 microanalyzer. Chlorine was determined according to [20].

All the reactions were carried out in refluxing benzene. Except for the reaction with Hg₂Cl₂, the precipitated TlCl was filtered off and the solution evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Subsequent treatment of the solid residue was different in each case, as described below.

Reaction with Hg₂Cl₂

A mixture of $(C_6Cl_5)_2TlCl$ and excess of Hg_2Cl_2 was refluxed for 6 h and then evaporated to dryness. $(C_6Cl_5)_2Hg$ was extracted with hot nitrobenzene and crystallized by evaporation. Yield ca. 50%.

Reaction with $Ni(CO)_2(dpe)$

Equimolar amounts were refluxed in benzene for 10 h. A solution of the yellow residue in benzene was chromatographed on a silica column with benzene/ethanol (10/1) as eluent. The complex was crystallized by evaporation. Yield 25-30%.

Reaction with ClNi(PPh₃)₃

A molar ratio Ni/Tl 2/1 is stirred in benzene at room temperature for 24 h. The yellow residue obtained by evaporation to dryness was recrystallized twice from chloroform/ethanol. Yield 35%.

Reaction with trans-ClRh(CO)(PPh₃)₂

Equimolar amounts are refluxed for 7 h. C_6Cl_5H was sublimed from the red residue obtained by evaporation to dryness ($100^{\circ}C$, 10^{-3} mm), and the remaining residue was extracted with warm cyclohexane. The extract was filtered and $(C_6Cl_5)Rh(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ was precipitated from the filtrate by adding hexane. It was recrystallized from chloroform/ethanol. Yield ca. 25%.

Reaction with ClAuPPh₃

Equimolar amounts are refluxed for 6 h. The residue was treated with warm cyclohexane and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a first crystalline fraction of $(C_6Cl_5)AuPPh_3$ which was filtered off. $Cl(C_6Cl_5)_2AuPPh_3$ was obtained as the second crystalline fraction on evaporation and addition of hexane. Yield ca. 30%.

References

- 1 K.P. McKinnon and B.O. West, Aust. J. Chem., 21 (1968) 2801.
- 2 J.M. Coronas and J. Sales, J. Organometal. Chem., 94 (1975) 107.
- 3 J.M. Coronas, O. Rossell and J. Sales, J. Organometal, Chem., 97 (1975) 473.
- 4 M.D. Rausch and F.E. Tibbetts, Inorg. Chem., 9 (1970) 512.
- 5 J. Chatt and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., (1971) 285.
- 6 M.D. Rausch and F.E. Tibbetts, J. Organometal. Chem., 21 (1970) 487.
- 7 G. Muller, Doctoral Thesis Univ. Barcelona, Spain, 1977.
- 8 R.S. Nyholm and P. Royo, Chem. Commun., (1969) 421.
- 9 P. Royo and J. Sancho, Trans. Met. Chem., 1 (1976) 212.
- 10 R. Usón, R. Royo and A. Laguna, J. Organometal. Chem., 69 (1974) 361.
- 11 P. Royo and R. Serrano, J. Organometal, Chem., 136 (1977) 309.
- 12 F.E. Paulik, S.I.E. Green and R.E. Dessy, J. Organometal. Chem., 3 (1965) 229.
- 13 M.D. Rausch, F.E. Tibbetts and H.B. Gordon, J. Organometal, Chem., 5 (1966) 493,
- 14 L. Vallarino, J. Chem. Soc., (1957) 2287.
- 15 R. Usón, A. Laguna and J. Pardo, Syn. React. Inorg. Metalorg. Chem., 4 (1974) 499.
- 16 D.M. Adams and P.J. Chandler, Chem. Commun., (1966) 68.
- 17 H.B. Gray, Trans. Metal. Chem., 1 (1965) 239.
- 18 C.F. Nobile, M. Rossi and A. Sacco, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 5 (1971) 698.
- 19 F. Caballero and P. Royo, Syn. Reac. Inorg. Metalorg. Chem., in press.
- 20 D.C. White, Microchim. Acta, (1961) 449.

Company of the second