The discrimination of 72 nitrate, chlorate and perchlorate salts using IR and Raman spectroscopy
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Abstract

Inorganic oxidizing energetic salts including nitrates, chlorates and perchlorates are widely used in the manufacture of not only licit pyrotechnic compositions, but also illicit homemade explosive mixtures. Their identification in forensic laboratories is usually accomplished by either capillary electrophoresis or ion chromatography, with the disadvantage of dissociating the salt into its ions. On the contrary, vibrational spectroscopy, including IR and Raman, enables the non-invasive identification of the salt, i.e. avoiding its dissociation. This study focuses on the discrimination of all nitrate, chlorate and perchlorate salts that are commercially available, using both Raman and IR spectroscopy, with the aim of testing whether every salt can be unequivocally identified. Besides the visual spectra comparison by assigning every band with the corresponding molecular vibrational mode, a statistical analysis based on Pearson correlation was performed to ensure an objective identification, either using Raman, IR or both. Positively, 25 salts (out of 72) were unequivocally identified using Raman, 30 salts when using IR and 44 when combining both techniques. Negatively, some salts were undistinguishable even using both techniques demonstrating there are some salts that provide very similar Raman and IR spectra.
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1. Introduction

Inorganic oxidizing energetic salts such as nitrates, chlorates and perchlorates, are of great forensic interest due to their usual and major presence in charge compositions of a large number of improvised explosive devices [1–4]. These salts are commercially accessible (either on their own or as part of the charge of pyrotechnic devices), and, dreadfully, easy “do-it-yourself” recipes to make damaging explosive compositions are freely available [1–7]. In this respect, rapid and selective methodologies to determine them are necessary because forensic investigators may draw relevant conclusions regarding the source, intention, or even the terrorist group or criminals involved; according to the explosive which has been used [1,3].

In any case, it is useful to determine the particular salt, i.e. determining both anion and cation. However, current methodologies used in forensic laboratories usually involve an aqueous extraction (in which the inorganic salts dissociate into their anions and cations) followed by either capillary electrophoresis [8–13] or ion chromatography determination [14–19]. The anions are usually determined because they provide the most relevant information about the explosive [19], i.e. whether the explosive is mostly based on a chlorate, a perchlorate or a nitrate salt. More comprehensive results are obtained when using dual working modes, in which both, anions and cations, are determined [9,18,19]. Nevertheless, it is important to remark that, using either CE or IC, anions and cations, if both are measured, are separately identified (not constituting the salt), which may induce to misleading results when trying to match them, especially when various cationic and anionic species are involved.

Recently, some research studies have preliminary tested the suitability of spectroscopic techniques, mainly, IR and Raman spectroscopy, to discriminate few of these salts [20–24]. Briefly, using either IR [20] or Raman spectroscopy [21], potassium nitrate, potassium chlorate, and potassium perchlorate have been demonstrated to provide different spectra according to their different bands: 1370 and 823 cm$^{-1}$ for nitrate, 955, 933, 613 and 487 cm$^{-1}$ for chlorate, and 1060, 940 and 621 cm$^{-1}$ for perchlorate using IR [20]; or 1047 and 712 cm$^{-1}$ for nitrate, 976, 937, 617 and 485 cm$^{-1}$ for chlorate, and 940, 628 and 462 cm$^{-1}$ for perchlorate using Raman [21]. Likewise, sodium nitrate and sodium chlorate have also been verified to have different Raman spectra with bands located at 1383, 1065 and 722 cm$^{-1}$ versus 985, 934, 621 and 479 cm$^{-1}$, respectively [21]; or
ammonium nitrate and ammonium perchlorate, whose main bands are located at 1044 and 940 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively [22].

In sum, it has been demonstrated that inorganic salts with different anions are easily discriminated through IR or Raman spectroscopy. The characteristic bands due to the vibrational modes of the anion enable the discrimination of salts. IR and Raman spectroscopy are vibrational techniques, i.e. they provide a characteristic spectral signature of each molecule according to the vibrational modes of their covalent chemical bonds [25,26]. This is ideal to discriminate salts whose anions contain covalent bonds such as nitrates, chlorates and perchlorates. In fact, nitrates can be distinguished from chlorates and perchlorates according to their completely different bonds (N–O versus Cl–O); but also, chlorates can be differentiated from perchlorates due to their different number of atoms and molecular geometry which lead to different vibrational modes. In brief, vibrational spectroscopic techniques allow to discriminate nitrates, chlorates and perchlorates among each other [25,26].

However, is vibrational spectroscopy suitable to discriminate salts that have the same anion? Interestingly, preliminary studies have verified that both, IR and Raman spectra of inorganic salts are influenced somehow by the cation. For instance, the nitrate salts of three different cations such as ammonium, potassium and sodium, have significant differences in their Raman spectra even though their spectral bands are due to the nitrate [21,23]. Ammonium may provide additional specific bands due to their own covalent bonds (N–H) which would discriminate it, but sodium nitrate and potassium nitrate which do not have covalent bonds except those from nitrate also provide different spectra. Concretely, the band due to N–O stretching is shifted in the spectrum of each salt (1041 cm\(^{-1}\) for ammonium, 1047 cm\(^{-1}\) for potassium and 1065 cm\(^{-1}\) for sodium nitrate [21], in such a way that this shift is significant enough to spectrally resolve ammonium and potassium nitrate even in mixtures [24], which is a crucial current challenge in forensics). In the same way, significant spectral differences between potassium and barium nitrate have been evidenced in their respective IR spectra (with bands located at 1370 and 823 cm\(^{-1}\) for potassium and 1413, 1335, 814 and 728 cm\(^{-1}\) for barium nitrate [20]); or between Sodium and potassium chlorate whose bands in their Raman spectra are slightly shifted among each other (985, 934, 621 and 479 cm\(^{-1}\) for sodium chlorate versus 976, 937, 617 and 485 cm\(^{-1}\) for potassium chlorate [21]). But, does it mean, for instance, that all nitrate salts provide significant spectral differences to be distinguished? Or do nitrate salts with
similar cations provide too slight spectral differences in such a way that they do not enable their discrimination?

In order to solve these questions, this study aims to evaluate all nitrate, chlorate and perchlorate salts commercially available using IR and Raman spectroscopy.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Inorganic Oxidizing Salts

In this study, 72 inorganic salts including nitrates (46), chlorates (4) and perchlorates (22) were considered. They were purchased at ACS grade (purity over 98%) either from Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa-Aesar. Salts were purchased anhydrous when possible. However, some salts were only available in their hydrated form and, particularly, ammonium perchlorate was only available in aqueous solution.

The chlorate salts analysed were sodium chlorate, potassium chlorate, barium chlorate monohydrate and silver chlorate.

The perchlorate salts analysed were lithium perchlorate trihydrate, sodium perchlorate, sodium perchlorate monohydrate, potassium perchlorate, magnesium perchlorate, calcium perchlorate tetrahydrate, strontium perchlorate trihydrate, barium perchlorate trihydrate, cerium (III) perchlorate hexahydrate, manganese (II) perchlorate hexahydrate, iron (II) perchlorate hydrate, iron (III) perchlorate hydrate, cobalt (II) perchlorate hexahydrate, nickel (II) perchlorate hexahydrate, copper (II) perchlorate hexahydrate, silver perchlorate, zinc perchlorate hexahydrate, cadmium perchlorate hydrate, mercury (II) perchlorate hydrate, aluminium perchlorate nonahydrate, lead (II) perchlorate hydrate and ammonium perchlorate solution (1 M in H₂O).

Nitrate salts analysed were lithium nitrate, sodium nitrate, potassium nitrate, rubidium nitrate, caesium nitrate, magnesium nitrate hexahydrate, calcium nitrate tetrahydrate, strontium nitrate, barium nitrate, scandium nitrate hydrate, yttrium (III) nitrate hexahydrate, lanthanum (III) nitrate hexahydrate, cerium (III) nitrate hexahydrate, praseodymium (III) nitrate hexahydrate, neodymium (III) nitrate hexahydrate, samarium (III) nitrate hexahydrate, europium (III) nitrate pentahydrate, gadolinium (III) nitrate hexahydrate, terbium (III) nitrate pentahydrate, dysprosium (III) nitrate hydrate, holmium
(III) nitrate pentahydrate, erbium (III) nitrate pentahydrate, thulium (III) nitrate hydrate, ytterbium (III) nitrate pentahydrate, lutetium (III) nitrate hydrate, chromium (III) nitrate nonahydrate, manganese (II) nitrate tetrahydrate, iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate, cobalt (II) nitrate hexahydrate, nickel (II) nitrate hexahydrate, palladium (II) nitrate dihydrate, copper (II) nitrate trihydrate, silver nitrate, zinc nitrate hexahydrate, cadmium nitrate tetrahydrate, mercury (I) nitrate dihydrate, mercury (II) nitrate monohydrate, aluminium nitrate nonahydrate, gallium nitrate hydrate, indium (III) nitrate hydrate, thallium (I) nitrate, thallium (III) nitrate trihydrate, lead (II) nitrate, ammonium nitrate, cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate and bismuth (III) nitrate pentahydrate.

2.2. Instrumentation for Raman and IR analysis

Raman analysis was performed with a Thermo Scientific DXR Raman microscope using the Thermo Scientific Omnic for dispersive Raman 8 software (Waltham, MA). Raman measurements were performed using a 532 nm excitation wavelength, 10 mW power, 10× magnification objective (3.1 μm diameter spot size) and 400 lines mm−1 grating, from 2000 to 400 cm−1, with a spectral resolution of 2 (data spacing of 0.964 cm−1). The spectra acquisition for all samples involved the accumulation of 6 scans of 5 s per scan. Five replicates collected from 5 different spots were analysed per sample (5 Raman spectra per salt).

A Thermo Scientific FT-IR Nicolet IS10 spectrometer equipped with smart ITR module for ATR measurements and controlled through the Omnic™ spectroscopy for IR spectroscopy 9 (Waltham, MA, USA) was used for IR analysis. IR spectra were collected from 3000 to 600 cm−1, with a resolution of 4 (data spacing of 0.483 cm−1) and 16 scans. Five replicates were analysed per sample by placing fresh powder on the ATR diamond crystal for each replicate (5 IR spectra per salt).

These Raman and IR parameters were fixed by demonstrating they were suitable to obtain Raman spectra of high signal-to-noise ratio for most of the salts.

2.3. Data Analysis

Besides the visual spectra comparison, multivariate statistical analysis using Pearson Correlation (r) was performed to discriminate the salts.

First, the Raman shift and wavenumber values of the bands due to the chemical vibrational modes of nitrates, chlorates and perchlorates were visually compared and
studied (see Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of Results and Discussion). Afterwards, spectra were statistically compared using Pearson correlation. First of all, the optimum spectral range (in terms of selectivity for discriminating the salts) was selected after testing different potentially suitable ranges (i.e. containing always the most intense and characteristic bands). Either two optimum ranges were suitable for Raman, 1500–400 cm\(^{-1}\) or 1100–400 cm\(^{-1}\), since both provided the same results in terms of the number of unequivocally identified salts; whereas the optimum range for IR was from 1500 to 600 cm\(^{-1}\). It should be noted that the IR range was limited to 600 cm\(^{-1}\) because of the instrumental features. Then, spectra were normalized between 0 and 1 (using range normalization). Finally, the Pearson correlation analysis was performed. In order to study the selectivity, the intra- and inter-variability between every salt were evaluated. The intra-variability of each salt was accounted by calculating the Pearson correlation among the 5 spectra collected for each salt (10 combinations) avoiding the correlation of each spectrum with itself. Then, the inter-variability between two salts was accounted by calculating the Pearson Correlation between the 5 spectra of salt 1 and the 5 spectra of salt 2 (25 combinations). These calculations were iteratively performed for every salt using MatLab R2016b (Mathworks, USA) through a homemade algorithm based on the “corrcoef” function. Finally, the identification/discrimination of salts was automatically performed in MatLab by comparing the intra-variability and inter-variability Pearson values of all salts using basic mathematical operations mainly based in “less/greater than” comparisons and conditional statement loops.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Discrimination of Energetic Salts Using Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectra of these inorganic salts were dominated by those bands which are due to the vibrational modes of the anion, since it contains the covalent bonds N–O or Cl–O. In brief, nitrate salts displayed two main bands in their Raman spectra, which were due to the N–O symmetric stretching vibration and the N–O in plane deformation. The symmetric stretching vibration of nitrate (\(v_1 (A'1)\)), which appeared in most salts as a unique band or two separate bands (probably because of a lowering of symmetry in the crystalline state) [25], ranged between 1075 and 1035 cm\(^{-1}\) in almost all nitrate salts. The N–O in plane deformation (\(v_4 (E')\)) ranged between 750 and 705 cm\(^{-1}\) for almost all the
salts. However, there were some exceptions such as palladium nitrate, whose stretching vibration band was noticeably red-shifted down to 1004 cm\(^{-1}\), or, even, there were new extra bands, as displayed in Figure 1. This phenomenon could be explained by the fact that palladium is not so electropositive and thus, their bonds with nitrate have a covalent character, which provide either the unusual shift of the nitrate bands or new bands due to new covalent cation-anion interactions [25]. In addition, a third band due to the antisymmetric stretching vibration of nitrate (\(v_3\) (E’)) was observed for some nitrate salts within the range 1400-1280 cm\(^{-1}\), but it had a negligible intensity.

![Figure 1. Raman spectra of sodium, potassium, barium, silver and palladium nitrates; sodium, potassium, barium and silver chlorates; and sodium, potassium, barium, silver and mercury perchlorates. Each spectrum is the average of five replicates of the salt, and each replicate was collected using 6 scans of 5 s per scan.](image-url)
Regarding chlorate salts, their Raman spectra were characterized by displaying four bands, which resulted from the symmetric and antisymmetric stretching of Cl–O and the symmetric and anti-symmetric deformation of Cl–O. The anti-symmetric Cl–O stretching (ν₃ (E)) ranged from 980 to 960 cm⁻¹ whereas the symmetric Cl–O stretching (ν₁ (A₁)) ranged from 940 to 910 cm⁻¹, except for silver chlorate whose anti-symmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations were located at 921 and 896 cm⁻¹, respectively. This shift to smaller wavenumbers might be due to an increase in the covalent character of the bond silver-chlorate, as previously observed for palladium nitrate. The symmetric Cl–O deformation (ν₂ (A₁)) ranged from 630 to 610 cm⁻¹ whereas the anti-symmetric Cl–O deformation (ν₄ (E)) ranged from 500 to 480 cm⁻¹, for all the salts. The Raman spectra of chlorate salts are displayed in Figure 1.

Finally, the Raman spectra of perchlorate salts displayed three main bands, the symmetric stretching of Cl–O and two deformations of Cl–O. The symmetric Cl–O stretching (ν₁ (A₁)) ranged from 950 to 930 cm⁻¹, except for silver perchlorate and mercury perchlorate (II) whose bands were located at 923 and 924 cm⁻¹, respectively. Again, a red-shift was observed for the less electropositive metals. The Cl–O deformation (ν₄ (T₂)) ranged from 635 to 625 cm⁻¹ whereas the Cl–O deformation (ν₄ (E)) ranged from 470 to 445 cm⁻¹, for all the salts except silver perchlorate, as shown in Figure 1. In addition, a fourth band due to the anti-symmetric stretching vibration of perchlorate (ν₃ (T₂)) was observed for most perchlorate salts within the range 1150-1040 cm⁻¹, but it had a negligible intensity.

The characteristic Raman spectra of every salt are displayed in Supplementary Figure 1 (Annexel).

3.2. Discrimination of Energetic Salts Using IR Spectroscopy

As occurred with Raman, the IR spectra of these oxidizing salts were also dominated by those bands due to the vibrational modes of the anion.

However, contrary to Raman, nitrate salts displayed four characteristic bands in their IR spectra, which were due to the four vibrational modes of the nitrate molecule. Instead of the symmetric stretching vibration of nitrate (ν₁ (A’₁)), which appeared as a unique sharp medium-intense band or two sharp little-intense separate bands, within the range 1060 and 1020 cm⁻¹; the most intense band in the IR spectra was a wide band from 1450 to 1270 cm⁻¹ which was due to the antisymmetric stretching vibration (ν₃ (E’)). For many salts
such as barium, nickel, copper or gadolinium, this unique wide band split into two or three bands. It should be highlighted that, theoretically, the symmetric stretching vibration of nitrate ($\nu_1$ (A’)) is not IR active. However, it was observed in the IR spectra for most nitrate salts. This fact might be explained by a lowering of symmetry in the crystalline state [25]. Finally, the IR spectra of nitrate salts also displayed those bands due to the out-of-plane and in-plane deformation vibrational modes. The out-of-plane deformation band ($\nu_2$ (A’’)) ranged from 840 to 800 cm$^{-1}$, whereas the in-plane deformation band ($\nu_4$ (E’)) was located within the range 780-700 cm$^{-1}$. The unique exception was palladium nitrate, whose bands were located at 899 and 784 cm$^{-1}$, as shown in Figure 2. Unexpectedly, in this case, the shift occurred towards higher wavenumbers.

![Figure 2. ATR-FTIR spectra of sodium, potassium, barium, silver and palladium nitrates; sodium, potassium, barium and silver chlorates; and sodium, potassium, barium, silver and mercury perchlorates. Each spectrum is the average of five replicates of the salt, and each replicate was collected using 16 scans.](image-url)
Regarding the chlorate salts, their IR spectra displayed three main bands due to the vibrational modes of the chlorate. The antisymmetric Cl–O stretching ($v_3 (E)$) ranged from 990 to 950 cm$^{-1}$ whereas the symmetric Cl–O stretching ($v_1 (A_1)$) ranged from 930 to 900 cm$^{-1}$, except for silver chlorate whose antisymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations were located at 915 and 881 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. As previously mentioned, this shift to smaller wavenumbers might be due to an increase in the covalent character of the bond silver-chlorate. Finally, the symmetric Cl–O deformation ($v_2 (A_1)$) ranged from 630 to 610 cm$^{-1}$ for all the chlorate salts. Unfortunately, since the IR spectrometer used only covered from 4000 to 600 cm$^{-1}$, the band due to the anti-symmetric deformation of chlorate ($v_4 (E)$) was not observed. The IR spectra of chlorate salts are displayed in Figure 2.

Likewise, the IR spectra of perchlorate salts displayed three bands from the vibrational modes of perchlorate. The anti-symmetric stretching vibrational mode of perchlorate ($v_3 (T_2)$) displayed a wide intense band that ranged from 1080 to 1030 cm$^{-1}$, except for silver and mercury perchlorates whose bands were located at 1000 cm$^{-1}$, as shown in Figure 2. The symmetric stretching of perchlorate ($v_1 (A_1)$) was only observed in half of the salts as a little-intense band that ranged from 950 to 930 cm$^{-1}$; which could be explained by the fact that, theoretically, the symmetric stretching of perchlorate is not IR active. Finally, the perchlorate deformation ($v_4 (T_2)$) ranged from 630 to 600 cm$^{-1}$. Unfortunately, as previously explained for one of the chlorate deformations, the perchlorate deformation ($v_2 (E)$) was not observed because of being located below the range measured by the IR spectrometer used.

The characteristic IR spectra of every salt are displayed in Supplementary Figure 2 (Annexe 2).

### 3.3. The Spectral Influence of Water in Hydrated Salts

As evidenced in experimental section, most of the salts were hydrated. Thus, the influence of water was studied by comparing the spectra of the same salt when hydrated and non-hydrated. Particularly, commercial anhydrous sodium perchlorate was compared with commercial sodium perchlorate monohydrate. As expected, spectra of both salts (either Raman or IR) were quite similar. Both displayed the main bands from the vibrational modes of the perchlorate and the respective shift influenced by the sodium. Nevertheless,
despite the evident similarities, there were also significant differences. Regarding IR spectroscopy, the most noticeable change was the presence of the band from water at 1627 cm\(^{-1}\) (water is IR active). Fortunately, this change was removed when considering only the IR range of nitrates, chlorates and perchlorates vibrations (from 600 to 1500 cm\(^{-1}\)). Also noticeable was the presence of a new band at 652 cm\(^{-1}\) in the anhydrous salt in contrast to the hydrated one. This fact was also verified by Raman (658 cm\(^{-1}\)). In addition, when comparing their Raman spectra, the most noticeable change was the fact that those bands due to deformation vibrational modes in sodium perchlorate monohydrate split into two bands in anhydrous sodium perchlorate, as displayed in Figure 3.

![Raman and ATR-FTIR spectra of sodium perchlorate anhydrous and hydrate.](image)

**Figure 3.** Raman and ATR-FTIR spectra of sodium perchlorate anhydrous and hydrate.

Thus, the presence of this new band located at 658 cm\(^{-1}\) in the anhydrous salt and the two bands at 630 and 621 cm\(^{-1}\) in Raman is likely explained by the splitting of the tri-degenerate deformation (\(v_4 (T_2)\)) because of a significant lowering of the symmetry in the anhydrous salt. In fact, the presence of water molecules within the ionic crystalline structure seems to unify those small shifts of vibrational modes of the anion that are due to the lowering of symmetry in the crystalline state. This effect, observed for sodium perchlorate monohydrate, also occurred in other di-, tri- and tetra-hydrate salts. This fact seems to evidence that the presence of water (either mono-, di-, tri-hydrate, etc.) reduces the splitting of bands keeping the degeneration of the vibrational mode in contrast to
anhydrous salts. Most nitrate, chlorate and perchlorate salts are highly hygroscopic. Thus, it is expected that they will contain some level of hydration in real samples. Therefore, this aspect should be taken into account when identifying the salts.

3.4. Statistical Discrimination of Energetic Salts Using Pearson Correlation

Positively, by studying either the Raman or IR spectra of an unknown oxidizing salt, the main bands in the spectra easily reveal the nature of the anion, i.e. nitrate, chlorate or perchlorate. To this aim, Table 1 summarizes the experimental Raman and IR ranges within which the vibrational modes of nitrates, chlorates and perchlorates appeared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nitrates</th>
<th>$v_1 (A')$</th>
<th>$v_2 (A''_2)$</th>
<th>$v_3 (E')$</th>
<th>$v_4 (E')$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symmetric stretch (Raman active)</td>
<td>Out of plane deformation (IR active)</td>
<td>Anti-symmetric stretch (Raman-IR active)</td>
<td>In plane deformation (Raman-IR active)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Raman$^2$</td>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1075-1035</td>
<td>1060-1020</td>
<td>840-800</td>
<td>1400-1280</td>
<td>1450-1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750-705</td>
<td>780-700</td>
<td></td>
<td>750-705</td>
<td>780-700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chlorates</th>
<th>$v_1 (A_1)$</th>
<th>$v_2 (A_1)$</th>
<th>$v_3 (E)$</th>
<th>$v_4 (E)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symmetric stretch (Raman-IR active)</td>
<td>Symmetric deformation (Raman-IR active)</td>
<td>Anti-symmetric stretch (Raman-IR active)</td>
<td>Anti-symmetric deformation (Raman-IR active)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>940-910</td>
<td>930-900</td>
<td>630-610</td>
<td>980-960</td>
<td>990-950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-480</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perchlorates</th>
<th>$v_1 (A_1)$</th>
<th>$v_2 (E)$</th>
<th>$v_3 (T_2)$</th>
<th>$v_4 (T_2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symmetric stretch (Raman active)</td>
<td>Deformation (Raman active)</td>
<td>Anti-symmetric stretch (Raman-IR active)</td>
<td>Deformation (Raman-IR active)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>IR$^1$</td>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950-930</td>
<td>470-445</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1150-1040</td>
<td>1080-1030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>635-625</td>
<td>630-600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IR$^1$: Theoretical IR inactive vibrations violated by the lowering of symmetry in the crystalline state.
IR$^2$: Bands below the IR range considered in this work.
Raman$^2$: Negligible-intense bands.

Negatively, the determination of the cation was not so straightforward. No spectral bands came from the cation except for molecular cations as ammonium. However, it was evidenced, for either Raman or IR, that the vibrational modes from the anion were influenced somehow by the cation. A noticeable shift in their Raman shift/wavenumber was observed depending on the cation to which the anion was bonded. Since it is widely known that IR and Raman spectroscopy are complementary techniques; in this study, the capability of both Raman and IR spectral features to unequivocally identify each
oxidizing salt was examined, as well as the identification improvement when combining both techniques.

In order to mathematically evaluate the unequivocal identification, i.e. whether the spectral differences among salts enable their discrimination; the Pearson correlation coefficients (r) were calculated and studied. To this aim, the r between spectra of the same salt (intra-correlation) was compared with the r between spectra of different salts (inter-correlation). A Pearson value over 0.95 was selected as the condition to confirm the identification.

Positively, the intra-correlation using only Raman was over 0.95 for all the salts except for Hg(ClO₄)₂, Mg(ClO₄)₂, Co(NO₃)₂, Lu(NO₃)₃ and Y(NO₃)₃, whose average r values were 0.91, 0.84, 0.90, 0.92 and 0.87, respectively. Afterwards, the inter-variability between salts was evaluated. Interestingly, 25 salts were unequivocally identified using Raman as summarized in Table 2, i.e. their intra-variability was lower than their inter-variability since their intra-correlation was over 0.95 (except for Hg(ClO₄)₂ and Lu(NO₃)₃ while their inter-correlation with any other salt was below 0.95 (or 0.90 in the case of Hg(ClO₄)₂ and Lu(NO₃)₃).

Table 2. Unequivocally identified salts by comparing through Pearson correlation their Raman spectra, IR spectra or both. Intra-correlation was over 0.95 while inter-correlation with any other salt was below 0.95. In order to save space, only the chemical formula of the salt (cation-anion) is displayed, not the number of hydrated water molecules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unequivocally Identified Salts (Intra-variability &lt; Inter-variability)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Raman (25/72)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgClO₃, Ba(ClO₄)₂, KClO₃, NaClO₃, AgClO₃, Ba(ClO₄)₂, Hg(ClO₄)₂*, KClO₃, NaClO₃, (NH₄)₂Ce(NO₃)₆, Bi(NO₃)₃, Cr(NO₃)₃, Cu(NO₃)₂, Er(NO₃)₃, Eu(NO₃)₃, Hg(NO₃)₂, LiNO₃, Lu(NO₃)₃*, Mg(NO₃)₂, NaNO₃, Pd(NO₃)₂, Sc(NO₃)₃, Sm(NO₃)₃, Ti(NO₃)₃, Tl(NO₃)₃ &amp; TINO₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Intra-correlation was between 0.90 and 0.95
Likewise, the intra-correlation using IR was over 0.95 for all the salts except for Fe(ClO$_4$)$_3$, Ni(ClO$_4$)$_2$, Ba(NO$_3$)$_2$, Er(NO$_3$)$_3$, Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$, Nd(NO$_3$)$_3$, Tm(NO$_3$)$_3$ and Y(NO$_3$)$_3$, whose average $r$ values were 0.89, 0.86, 0.94, 0.87, 0.94, 0.94, 0.93 and 0.90, respectively. After evaluating the inter-variability, 30 salts were unequivocally identified using IR, as summarized in Table 2, i.e. their intra-variability was lower than their inter-variability since their intra-correlation was over 0.95 (except for Ba(NO$_3$)$_2$) while their inter-correlation was below 0.95 (or 0.94 in the case of Ba(NO$_3$)$_2$).

Finally, it was decided to study the salts which remained undistinguishable by evaluating together Raman and IR spectroscopy. By combining both spectroscopic techniques, there were 44 salts which were unequivocally identified as summarized in Table 2, i.e. their intra-correlation (for both Raman and IR) was over 0.95 while their inter-correlation with any other salt (using either Raman, IR or both) was below 0.95.

In addition to Table 2, which summarizes the salts that were identified, Table 3 was created to summarize the groups of salts that were undistinguishable among each other. For those non-identified salts, it was useful to study the correlation among them and check which ones were undistinguishable and might be erroneously mistaken. Regarding Raman spectroscopy, there were 26 groups of salts with an average of 3 salts per group which were undistinguishable among each other. However, it was noticeable that groups of perchlorate salts contained a larger number of undistinguishable salts (up to 10) than nitrate groups (in which the number of undistinguishable salts ranged from 2 to 4). Concerning IR, there were 23 groups of salts with an average of 3 salts per group which were undistinguishable among each other. In this case, both perchlorate and nitrate groups contained a similar number of undistinguishable salts (from 2 to 5). Finally, when considering together Raman and IR, there were still 15 groups of salts with an average of 3 salts per group (for perchlorate groups) and 2 salts per group (for nitrate groups) which were undistinguishable among each other.

By studying the Raman and IR spectroscopic data of each salt, some of the salts that were not discriminated using either only Raman or IR, were properly discriminated when considering the other technique. For instance, barium nitrate might be erroneously identified as gadolinium or lead nitrate using Raman but it was unequivocally identified using IR. On the contrary, potassium perchlorate might be erroneously identified as nickel perchlorate using IR but it was unequivocally identified using Raman. Furthermore, there
were some peculiar cases such as caesium nitrate which might be erroneously identified as either calcium, mercury (I) or potassium nitrates using Raman, but as sodium or rubidium nitrates using IR. Positively, by considering both techniques, caesium nitrate was unequivocally identified since no other salt provided a Pearson value over 0.95 for both Raman and IR when compared with caesium nitrate.

**Table 3.** Groups of undistinguishable salts by comparing through Pearson correlation their Raman spectra, IR spectra or both. Inter-correlation between salts within the same group was over 0.95. In order to save space, only the chemical formula of the salt (cation-anion) is displayed, not the number of hydrated water molecules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raman (47/72)</th>
<th>IR (42/72)</th>
<th>Raman + IR (28/72)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al(ClO₃)₂, Cd(ClO₃)₂, Cu(ClO₃)₂ &amp; Fe(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>KClO₃ &amp; NaClO₃</td>
<td>Al(ClO₃)₂ &amp; Fe(ClO₄)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(ClO₃)₂, Cd(ClO₃)₂, Cu(ClO₃)₂ &amp; Mg(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Al(ClO₃)₂ &amp; Fe(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Ca(ClO₃)₂ &amp; NaClO₃, H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(ClO₃)₂, NaClO₃ &amp; Sr(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Ba(ClO₃)₂, Ca(ClO₃)₂ &amp; NaClO₄</td>
<td>Ca(ClO₃)₂ &amp; Sr(ClO₄)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd(ClO₄)₃, Ce(ClO₄)₃, Co(ClO₄)₂, Fe(ClO₄)₂, LiClO₄, Mg(ClO₄)₂, Mn(ClO₄)₂, Ni(ClO₄)₂, Pb(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Zn(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Ca(ClO₃)₂, MnClO₃ &amp; Sr(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₄)₂, Ce(ClO₄)₃, Co(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd(ClO₄)₂, Co(ClO₄)₂, Fe(ClO₄)₂, LiClO₄, Mg(ClO₄)₂, Mn(ClO₄)₂, NH₄ClO₄, Ni(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Zn(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₃)₂, Ce(ClO₄)₃, Co(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₄)₂, Ce(ClO₄)₃, Co(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNO₃, Mn(NO₃)₂ &amp; Nd(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₃)₂, Co(ClO₄)₂, Cu(ClO₄)₂, Ni(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Zn(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₃)₂, Ce(ClO₄)₃, Co(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNO₃ &amp; Pb(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₃)₂, Co(ClO₄)₂, Mn(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₃)₂, Ce(ClO₄)₃, Co(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(NO₃)₃ &amp; Ga(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₃)₂, MnClO₃, NiClO₃, &amp; Sr(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Cd(ClO₃)₂, Ce(ClO₄)₃, Co(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba(NO₃)₂, Gd(NO₃)₃ &amp; Pb(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Ce(ClO₃)₂, LiClO₄, NiClO₃, &amp; Pb(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Al(NO₃)₃ &amp; Ga(NO₃)₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(NO₃)₂ &amp; Cd(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Fe(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂ &amp; Pb(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Ce(NO₃)₂ &amp; La(NO₃)₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(NO₃)₂, CsNO₃, Hg(NO₃)₂ &amp; KNO₃</td>
<td>KClO₃ &amp; Ni(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>Ca(NO₃)₂ &amp; Zn(NO₃)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(NO₃)₂, Dy(NO₃)₃, Hg(NO₃)₂ &amp; KNO₃</td>
<td>Al(NO₃)₃ &amp; Ga(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Fe(NO₃)₃ &amp; Ga(NO₃)₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(NO₃)₂, Dy(NO₃)₃, Hg(NO₃)₂ &amp; Y(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Al(NO₃)₃ &amp; Ga(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Ho(NO₃)₃ &amp; Tb(NO₃)₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(NO₃)₂, Dy(NO₃)₃, Hg(NO₃)₂ &amp; Y(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Al(NO₃)₃ &amp; Ga(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Ce(NO₃)₂ &amp; La(NO₃)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(NO₃)₂, Dy(NO₃)₃, Y(NO₃)₃ &amp; Yb(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Co(NO₃)₂, Mg(NO₃)₂ &amp; Zn(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Nd(NO₃)₂ &amp; Pr(NO₃)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(NO₃)₂, Fe(NO₃)₃ &amp; Ga(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Cr(NO₃)₂, Fe(NO₃)₃ &amp; Ga(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Tm(NO₃)₂ &amp; Y(NO₃)₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(NO₃)₂, Fe(NO₃)₃ &amp; La(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>CsNO₃, NaNO₃, &amp; RhNO₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(NO₃)₂ &amp; Zn(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Er(NO₃)₂, Ho(NO₃)₃, Tb(NO₃)₂ &amp; Tm(NO₃)³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy(NO₃)₃ &amp; Gd(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Eu(NO₃)₃, Pr(NO₃)₃ &amp; Sm(NO₃)₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho(NO₃)₃ &amp; Tb(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Gd(NO₃)₃ &amp; Y(NO₃)₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho(NO₃)₃ &amp; Y(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Nd(NO₃)₂, Pr(NO₃)₂ &amp; Sm(NO₃)₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In(NO₃)₃ &amp; Zn(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Sm(NO₃)₂ &amp; Y(NO₃)₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(NO₃)₂, Nd(NO₃)₃, NH₂NO₃ &amp; Pr(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Tm(NO₃)₂ &amp; Y(NO₃)₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(NO₃)₂ &amp; Zn(NO₃)₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(NO₃)₃, Sr(NO₃)₂ &amp; Zn(NO₃)₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm(NO₃)₂ &amp; Y(NO₃)₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusions

Vibrational spectroscopic techniques such as IR and Raman spectroscopy enable an accurate discrimination of oxidizing salts according to their different anions: nitrates, chlorates and perchlorates. The characteristic chemical vibrational modes of these anions are selective enough to identify these salts either using IR or Raman spectroscopy.

Nevertheless, the discrimination between salts that have the same anion and only differ in the cation was not so straightforward. Thus, besides the visual spectra comparison, a simple statistical analysis based on Pearson correlation helps to objectively discriminate and identify these salts, discriminating the cation. According to the results, the cation influences the shift of the bands from the anion.

Some salts, which contained the same anion and different cations, were not unequivocally identified when using only their IR or Raman spectra, but when combining IR and Raman information. In fact, the discrimination of salts significantly improved when considering both techniques together, despite the fact that there were still 28 salts that were not unequivocally identified.

It is important to highlight that spectral ranges considered in this study were dominated by the vibrational modes of the anions (due to their covalent bonds) and their shift due to the cation-anion interactions. However, in order to improve the discrimination, further information involving the lattice modes of these solid crystalline salts might be studied using high resolution instruments covering the spectral range below 400 cm\(^{-1}\).

A further relevant challenge involves the simultaneous identification of different salts in mixtures. It is essential to study whether two salts in a mixture might be spectrally resolved. Depending on how much their main characteristic bands differ among each other, high or medium spectral resolution might be required. Further research to answer this point is highly necessary.
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Nitrate salts

- LiNO$_3$: 1385
- NaNO$_3$: 1387
- KNO$_3$: 1360
- RbNO$_3$: 1411
- CsNO$_3$: 1395
- Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O: 1434
- Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O: 1427
- Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$: 1406
- Ba(NO$_3$)$_2$: 1406
- Sc(NO$_3$)$_3$·3H$_2$O
- Y(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O: 1343
- La(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O: 1354
- Cr(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O: 1303
- Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O: 1339
- Fe(NO$_3$)$_2$·9H$_2$O
- Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O: 1357

Raman Shift (cm$^{-1}$)
Chlorate salts
Perchlorate salts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>LiClO$_4$$\cdot$3H$_2$O</th>
<th>NaClO$_4$</th>
<th>NaClO$_4$$\cdot$H$_2$O</th>
<th>KClO$_4$</th>
<th>Mg(ClO$_4$)$_2$</th>
<th>Ca(ClO$_4$)$_2$$\cdot$4H$_2$O</th>
<th>Sr(ClO$_4$)$_2$$\cdot$3H$_2$O</th>
<th>Ba(ClO$_4$)$_2$$\cdot$3H$_2$O</th>
<th>Mn(ClO$_4$)$_2$$\cdot$6H$_2$O</th>
<th>Fe(ClO$_4$)$_2$$\cdot$xH$_2$O</th>
<th>Fe(ClO$_4$)$_3$$\cdot$xH$_2$O</th>
<th>Co(ClO$_4$)$_2$$\cdot$6H$_2$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raman Shift (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1128 1105</td>
<td>1149 1089</td>
<td>1149 1094</td>
<td>1126 1089</td>
<td>1269 1238</td>
<td>1128 1095</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>1118</td>
<td>1159 1078</td>
<td>1114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Raman Shift (cm$^{-1}$)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Raman Shift (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O</td>
<td>1121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O</td>
<td>1123 1078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgClO₄</td>
<td>1129 1046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O</td>
<td>1117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd(ClO₄)₂·xH₂O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(ClO₄)₂·xH₂O</td>
<td>1176 1107 1026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(ClO₄)₃·9H₂O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(ClO₄)₂·xH₂O</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄ClO₄</td>
<td>1113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Raman Shift (cm⁻¹)
Nitrate salts

Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)
Chlorate salts

- NaClO$_3$
- KClO$_3$
- Ba(ClO$_3$)$_2$·H$_2$O
- AgClO$_3$

Wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$)
Perchlorate salts

- LiClO₄·3H₂O
- NaClO₄
- NaClO₄·H₂O
- KClO₄
- Mg(ClO₄)₂
- Ca(ClO₄)₂·4H₂O
- Sr(ClO₄)₂·3H₂O
- Ba(ClO₄)₂·3H₂O
- Mn(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O
- Fe(ClO₄)₂·xH₂O
- Fe(ClO₄)₃·xH₂O
- Co(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O

Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)