Amidosilylcyclopentadienyl Monoalkyl Zirconium Compounds: Evidence of a N-Assisted 1,3-Proton Shift Olefin Isomerization Mechanism
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New \( \eta^5 \)-cyclopentadienyl-\( \eta^1 \)-amido monoalkyl zirconium compounds of the type \([\text{Zr}\{(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2-\eta^1-\text{NtBu})(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{R})\}] \) (\( R = \text{Me}, \text{Bn}; R' = \text{H}, \text{SiMe}_2, \text{SiMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH} = \text{CH}_2 \)) have been synthesized and fully characterized. Allyldimethylsilylcyclopentadienyl derivatives \([\text{Zr}\{(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2-\eta^1-\text{NtBu})(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH} = \text{CH}_2)\}] \) isomerize the allyl fragment bound to the cyclopentadienyl ring to give the corresponding \([\text{Zr}\{(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2-\eta^1-\text{NtBu})(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH} = \text{CH}_2)\}] \) compounds (\( R = \text{Me}, \text{Bn} \)). Experimental as well as DFT computational studies support an isomerization mechanism based on a N-assisted 1,3-proton shift.

Chelate \( \eta^5 \)-cyclopentadienyl-\( \eta^1 \)-amido group 4 metal complexes such as \([\text{MX}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2-\eta^1-\text{NtBu})(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{R})]\) or \([\text{MX}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2-\eta^1-\text{NtBu})_2]\) (\( M = \text{Ti}, \text{Zr}; X = \text{Cl}, \text{Me}, \text{Bn}; R = \text{H}, \text{Me} \)), which do not retain an alkyl ligand in their MAO-activated form, have recently proved to be active olefin polymerization precatalysts. This finding has prompted new investigations on such catalytic systems and the possible pathways through which polymerization occurs.\(^1\)\(^-3\)

Within this context, well-known alkene isomerization has special relevance, as it takes place very often as a side reaction during olefin polymerization processes mediated by bis-cyclopentadienyl group 4 compounds, and is responsible for epimerization of chiral centers, stereoeomers, and the so-called chain walk of group 4 metalloccenes along the alkyl polymer chain.\(^4\)\(^-5\)

Polymerization and isomerization share fundamental reactions in organometallic chemistry, such as olefin insertion into \( M-X \) (\( X = \text{H}, \text{alkyl} \)) bonds and the reverse, \( \beta-X \) elimination from a transition metal-alkyl. Thus, elucidation of the steric and electronic factors that control \( H \) and alkyl insertion/elimination reactions in monoalkyl constrained geometry compounds is of paramount importance for a better understanding of the mechanisms driving their polymerization and isomerization catalytic processes.

Recently, we reported the intramolecular alkyl isomerization occurring in group 4 and 6 metal hydride compounds with the allyldimethylsilyl-\( \eta^1 \)-cyclopentadienyl ligand.\(^6\)\(^-8\)

The design of such model species, which contain cyclopentadienyl units with a hemilabile binding profile, has proven to be a useful strategy to gain insight into their olefin isomerization mechanisms. While group 6 metal compounds of formula \([\text{MH}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH} = \text{CH}_2)(\text{CO})_3]\) isomerize the intramolecular pendant allyl unit selectively to the \( \text{trans} \)-prop-1-enyl-dimethylsilyl group,\(^6\)\(^-8\) the allyldimethylsilylcyclopentadienyl Zr hydride compound \([\text{Zr}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2-\eta^1-\text{NtBu})(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH} = \text{CH}_2)\}] \) evolves to the six-membered zirconacycle derivative \([\text{Zr}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2-\eta^1-\text{NtBu})(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{SiMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH} = \text{CH}_2)\}] \). Despite these remarkable experimental differences between group 4 and 6 metal derivatives, theoretical DFT calculations support a traditional metal hydride addition–elimination mechanism for both systems.\(^7\)\(^-8\)
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We have now extended our investigations to the synthesis and behavior of alkyl zirconium compounds of the type [ZrR(η⁵-C₅H₄SiMe₂-y⁻¹-NBu)(η²-C₃H₆R')] (R = Me, Bu; R' = H, SiMe₂, SiMe₃CH₂CH=CH₂). Previously reported chloro derivatives [ZrCl(η⁵-C₅H₄SiMe₂-y⁻¹-NBu)(η²-C₃H₆R')] (R = H (1), SiMe₂ (2), SiMe₃CH₂CH=CH₂ (3)) react with excess methyllithium, MeLi, or benzylmagnesium chloride, BnMgCl, at room temperature to afford the corresponding allyl derivatives [ZrR(η⁵-C₅H₄SiMe₂-y⁻¹-NBu)(η²-C₃H₆R')] (R = Me, R' = H (4), SiMe₂ (5), SiMe₃CH₂CH=CH₂ (6a); R = Bn, R' = SiMe₂CH₂CH=CH₂ (7a), R = 1-N₃R, R' = SiMe₂CH₂CH=CH₂ (6b*), Scheme 1), which have been isolated as solids in ca. 85–90% yields and fully characterized by ¹H, ¹³C, and ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis.

The pendant allyl unit of the allyl derivatives 6a and 7a isomerizes under certain reaction conditions. When benzene-d₆ solutions of 6a were heated to 120 °C, the ¹H NMR spectra showed, after 10 days, the clean formation of the trans-[ZrMe(η⁵-C₅H₄SiMe₂-y⁻¹-NBu)(η²-C₃H₆CH₂)] (Scheme 2). Surprisingly, allyl isomerization of benzyl compound 7a takes place in similar reaction conditions, and detection of pure [ZrBn(η⁵-C₅H₄SiMe₂-y⁻¹-NBu)(η²-C₃H₆SiMe₂CH₂CH=CH₃)] (Scheme 2). However, benzene-d₆ solutions of 7a at 120 °C for 14 days. When the reactions were carried out on a preparative scale, derivatives 6b and 7b were isolated after ca. 8–10 days at 120 °C as yellow solids in ca. 70% yield. The selective allyl-to-trans-[ZrMe(η⁵-C₅H₄SiMe₂-y⁻¹-NBu)(η²-C₃H₆SiMe₂CH₂CH=CH₃)] (6b*) was possible after heating benzene-d₆ solutions of 6a at 120 °C for 10 days.

The allyldimethylsilylcyclopentadienyl chloro derivative 3. Fortunately, compound 3 showed no isomerization of the allyl fragment under any of the conditions tested. In order to learn more about the pathways followed for the M-alkyl ligand during the allyl isomerization, we decided to synthesize the labeled [Zr¹³CH₃(η⁵-C₅H₄SiMe₂-y⁻¹-NBu)(η²-C₃H₆SiMe₂CH₂CH=CH₃)] (6a*). Upon heating the benzene-d₆ solutions of 6a*, the ¹³C NMR spectra showed that trans-[Zr¹³CH₃(η⁵-C₅H₄SiMe₂-y⁻¹-NBu)(η²-C₃H₆SiMe₂CH₂CH=CH₃)] (6b*) was cleanly formed after ca. 10 days. A ¹³C NMR analysis of the product showed no ¹³C incorporation at any of the carbon resonances due to the pendant 1-propenyl unit, thus showing no evidence for insertion of the Zr⁻¹³CH₃ bond into the olefin fragment and/or β⁻¹³CH₃ elimination processes. These experimental results suggest a different isomerization mechanism, in which no β-H, allyl elimination reaction takes place during the allyl to 1-propenyl transformation. In order to confirm the nonfeasibility of such a mechanism, we made a DFT computational study of the consecutive methyl and hydride insertion/β-elimination steps on model system 6a. The energy diagram of the complete reaction pathway for the allyl to 1-propenyl transformation involves six steps with six computationally located transition state structures (TS) and five intermediates, represented as compounds A–E in Scheme 3. All calculated energy values are relative to 6a, which was taken as the H = 0, G = 0 energy.¹¹ The activation parameters for the first (TS6a-A, ΔH° = 57.6 kcal/mol, ΔG° = 61.4 kcal/mol) and the last steps (TS6b-D, ΔH° = 48.8 kcal/mol, ΔG° = 52.8 kcal/mol), which involve Zr-CH₂ bond breaking or formation, respectively, are too high to be possible even at high temperatures. Conclusive disagreement between the theoretical results and the experimental observations also involves all of the intermediates A–E, which, with the exception of species C, are more stable than the experimentally observed reaction product 1-propenylmethylsilylcyclopentadienyl zirconium derivative 6b (ΔH° = −2.9 kcal/mol, ΔG° = −9.3 kcal/mol). If such insertion/elimination pathways were operating, zirconacycle A (ΔH° = −12.8 kcal/mol, ΔG° = −9.6 kcal/mol) should be the only product of the reaction, as it is, by far, the most stable compound. However, 6a and 6b or 7a and 7b are the only compounds detected when monitoring the isomerization reactions in benzene-d₆ by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, and neither α- nor β-zirconacycle species have been experimentally observed. All of these results show that a M-αH, allyl addition–elimination mechanism must be ruled out.

A second traditional mechanism for olefin isomerization is a metal-assisted 1,3-hydrogen shift. This process involves coordination of the olefin to the metal center followed by transfer of one allylic C-3 hydrogen to the metal, to give a...
π-allyl-M-hydride intermediate. This hydride ligand migrates to the olefinic C-1 to produce the allyl to 1-propenyl isomerization. For the zirconium system under study, one objection to this π-allylic mechanism is that the required net oxidative addition of a C-H bond to a Zr(IV) metal center is not feasible.

Evidence of a M-N insertion route operating in the intramolecular hydroamination/cyclization, IHC, of aminoalkenes mediated by neutral amido zirconium compounds has been found recently,12,13 and the viability of such a M-N insertion pathway in aminoallene IHC catalyzed by bis(amido) zirconium derivatives has been intensely studied by using the DFT method.14 Thus, an isomerization mechanism involving insertion of the M-N bond into the pendant olefin present in derivatives 6a and 7a, shown in Scheme 4, should also be considered. Consistent with the strong M-N bond,12 the four-membered transition structure constituting the simultaneous Zr-N, C=CH bond cleavage and Zr-C, C=N bond formation affords high calculated energy values (TS6a-A; ΔH° = 51.3 kcal/mol, ΔG° = 56.1 kcal/mol)15 from the DFT method.

Table 1. Selected 1H, 13C, and 29Si NMR Data (δ in ppm, cisJ, transJ in Hz) of Compounds 6a,b and 7a,b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>Si−CH2−CH=CH2</th>
<th>−CH2−CH=CH2</th>
<th>Si−CH=CH(CH3)</th>
<th>−CH=CH3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>1.59 (d) 5.72 (m)</td>
<td>4.90 (d, transJ = 16)</td>
<td>6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.3 (−) 135.5 (+)</td>
<td>114.4 (−)</td>
<td>6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>−7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>1.56 (d) 5.66 (m)</td>
<td>4.92 (d, cisJ = 11)</td>
<td>7b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.2 (−) 135.2 (+)</td>
<td>114.6 (−)</td>
<td>7b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>−7.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All NMR data were measured in benzene-d6 at 22 °C.16 APT 13C NMR experiments showed positive (+) (CH, CH3) and negative phased signals (−), (CH2 and quaternary C).

(15) See Supporting Information for details of DFT calculations.
Production of derivative 6b from the starting 6a through this M–N insertion mechanism requires formation of a hydride-methyl Zr intermediate species B’, which contains a pendant olefin fragment close to the metal center. The evolution of such a species at the working temperatures of 120 °C is expected to follow favored reaction channels different from those required to afford the final 6b zirconium derivative. The hydridozirconation of such hydride-zirconium compounds with similar pendant alkene fragments has been demonstrated to be an easy process that is favored over double-bond isomerization. Furthermore, analogous metalocene hydride-alkyl-zirconium species suffer thermal reductive elimination of alkane, which occur even without addition of any exogeneous ligands at temperatures of ca. 75 °C. These reasons, together with the calculated energies by the DFT method, led us to discount an isomerization mechanism involving olefin insertion into the M–N bond.

Metal complexes with metal–oxygen and –nitrogen bonds facilitate H–H and C–H bond activation reactions. The positioning of a nucleophile/basic heteroatom ligand (amido, alkoxo, imido, oxo, etc.) adjacent to a Lewis-acidic metal center provides opportunities for activation of organic substrates toward controlled bond-breaking and bond-forming reactions. Weak C–H⋯X interactions are claimed to be responsible for this kind of reactivity. As formation of M–hydride intermediate species can be rejected, we took into account these antecedents and considered the possibility that isomerization of derivatives 6a and 7a is initiated through an unusual Zr-N-assisted 1,3-allylic proton shift.

The proposed isomerization pathway would occur in two steps, shown in Figure 1. The first step consists of a net addition of a methylenic C–H bond across the Zr–N bond, via a four-membered transition structure (TS6a-F), in which Zr, N, C, and H atoms are involved. The Zr–C(1–3) bond distances (3.94, 5.18, 6.18 Å, respectively) found for TS6a-F agree well with a σ-allyl-Zr disposition. An intermediate (F) of high energy, containing a secondary amine pendant ligand and a π-allyl-Zr bond, is formed. This disposition is energetically favored over a σ-allyl-Zr system. The following deprotonation of the amine group, which rises to the zirconium complex 6b, takes place through a six-membered transition state (TSF-6b), with the three allylic C atoms, Zr, H, and N centers involved. A π-allyl-Zr representation for TSF-6b is upheld for the calculated Zr–C(1–3) bond distances (3.37, 3.65, 4.05 Å, respectively) of this transition state.

The activation energies of protonation and deprotonation steps are rather high, both over 40 kcal/mol, but they agree well with the high reaction temperatures required experimentally. Most remarkably, the activation parameters of these pathways are more than 10 kcal/mol lower in energy than those calculated for the mechanisms involving insertion/elimination steps into M–alkyl or M–amido bonds. In agreement with the experimental results, the overall process is thermodynamically driven to the formation of the most stable compound, 6b (ΔH = −2.9 kcal/mol, ΔG = −3.0 kcal/mol). Finally, IRC calculations undoubtedly show the connection between the two transition state structures, TS6a-F and TSF-6b, and the cyclopentadienyl pendant olefin systems 6a and 6b through intermediate F.

In summary, new monoalkyl zirconium compounds of the type [ZrR(η2-C5H4SiMe2-η1-NBu)(η2-C5H4R’)]] have been prepared and fully characterized. Allyldimethylsilylcyclopentadienyl derivatives [ZrR(η2-C5H4SiMe2-η1-NBu)(η2-C5H4SiMe2CH=CH2)] have been shown to undergo the intramolecular isomerization of the allyl fragment to cleanly afford the corresponding trans-1-propenyl [ZrR(η2-C5H4SiMe2-η1-NBu)(η2-C5H4SiMe2CH=CHC3H4)] (R = Me, Bn) compounds. Whichever isomerization mechanism is responsible, selectivity to the trans-isomer formation is a consequence of the geometry imposed by the chelating character of the cyclopentadienyl-olefin ligand. On the basis of the experimental work and DFT computational studies reported here, an isomerization mechanism consisting of a 1,3-proton shift mediated by a noninnocent amide ligand is proposed.

**Experimental Section**

**General Information.** All manipulations involving syntheses of metal complexes and catalysis were performed at an argon/vacuum manifold using standard Schlenk-line techniques under an argon atmosphere or in a glovebox MBraun MOD system. Solvents were dried by conventional procedures and freshly distilled prior to use. [Zr(η2-C5H4SiMe2-η1-NBu)Cl2]20 [ZrCl-

(η^5-C₅H₅SiMe₂η^1-NBu)(η^5-C₅H₅R')[(R' = H, SiMe₅, SiMeCH₂-CH=CH₂), 15C-labeled methylmagnesium, 13CH₃Li, and corresponding lithium salts of the substituted cyclopentadienyl compounds, [CH₃L'R']Li] (R' = SiMe₂, 2SiMeCH₂CH=CH₂), were prepared according to previous reports. Diethyl ether (EtO) solutions of Mel and tetrahydrofuran (THF) solutions of BnMgCl were purchased from Aldrich. NMR spectra were recorded in a Bruker 400 Ultrashield. 1H and 13C chemical shifts are reported relative to tetramethylsilane. Coupling constants J are given in Hz. Elemental analyses were performed in our laboratories (UAH) on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHNS/O analyzer, Series II.

**General Procedure for Preparation of [ZrMe₃η^5-C₅H₅SiMe₂η^1-NBu][η^5-C₅H₅R'] (R = H, 4; SiMe₅, 5; SiMeCH₂-CH=CH₂, 6a).** A 1.5 M solution of MeLi in EtO (0.63 mL, 0.94 mmol) was added dropwise to an Et₂O solution of I (0.24 g. 0.62 mmol), 2 (0.21 g, 0.47 mmol), or 3 (0.23 g, 0.47 mmol), respectively, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. Solvent was completely removed from the resulting yellow suspension under vacuum, and the solid residue was extracted into hexane (3 × 5 mL). Removal of the hexane from the yellow solution gave yellow to orange foams, which were identified as pure derivatives 4, 5, and 6a, respectively. Noticeable formation of polymer was observed under these conditions. A toluene (3 mL) solution of (0.15 g, 0.32 mmol) or a THF (3 mL) solution of 7a (0.17 g, 0.37 mmol) was heated at 120 °C for ca. 8 (6a) or 10 (7a) days. Solvent was completely removed from the resulting yellow to dark orange solutions, and the yellow solid residues were identified as pure derivatives 6b (yield: 0.10 g (69%)) and 7b (yield: 0.12 g (71%)). 6b: Anal. Caled for C₃₀H₃₆ZrSi₃N: C, 57.08; H, 8.08; N, 3.03. Found: C, 56.70; H, 7.99; N, 2.90. 1H NMR (plus TOSCY, plus HSQCPG, plus HMBCG, 200 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 6.58, 6.20, 6.17, 6.16 (all 1H, CH₃), 6.03 (dq, 1H, transJCH₃ = 19, 1H, JHH = 6, 1H, JHMe = 5, 1.59 (d, 2H, JHH = 8, Si-CH₃), 1.17 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.54, 0.41, 0.22, 0.18 (all s, each 3H, Si(CH₃)₂), 0.11 (s, 3H, Zr-CH₃).

**Preparation of [ZrBu₃η^5-C₅H₅SiMe₂η^1-NBu][η^5-C₅H₅R'] (7a).** A THF solution of BnMgCl (0.52 mL, 1.06 mmol) was added at room temperature to a solution of 3 (0.26 g, 0.53 mmol) in THF (5 mL). Stirring the reaction mixture for 3 days at 50 °C gave a yellow suspension. The solvent was removed under vacuum, hexane (2×3 mL) was then added to the oily residue, the resulting suspension was filtered, and the yellow solution was dried under vacuum to produce a yellow oily residue, which was identified as pure derivative 7a. Yield: 0.26 g (93%). Anal. Caled for C₃₀H₃₆ZrSi₃N: C, 62.39; H, 7.67; N, 2.60. Found: C, 62.25; H, 8.39; N, 2.22. 1H NMR (plus HSQCPG, plus HMBCG, 400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 7.28 (dd, 2H, JHH = 8, JHCH₃ = 7 = m, CH₃), 7.10 (dd, 2H, JHH = 7, o, CH₃), 6.98, 6.91, 6.86, 6.42, 6.25, 6.05, 6.02, 5.86, 5.79, 5.77, 5.74 (all m, each 1H, CH₂), 5.66 (m, 1H, transJCH₂ = 18, cisJCH₂ = 11, 1H, JHH = 8, = Si-CH₂), 4.92 (d, 1H, cisJHCH₃ = 11, 1H, JHH = 8, = Si-CH₂), 4.89 (d, 1H, transJHCH₃ = 18, = Si-CH₂), 2.50 (d, 1H, JHH = 10, PhCH₂), 1.98 (d, 1H, JHH = 10, PhCH₂), 1.25 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.44, 0.36, 0.21, 0.19 (all s, each 3H, Si(CH₃)₂).
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