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ABSTRACT: Variability in ridge density in a sub-Saharan population sample was studied by counting ridges in three fingerprint areas (two distal regions, radial and ulnar, and one proximal region) on the epidermal surface of the distal phalanx. Study material was obtained from the fingerprint impressions of 100 male sub-Saharan subjects aged between 18- and 48-years old. The results were compared with those obtained from a Spanish population sample. Sub-Saharan males presented lower ridge density than Spanish males in the distal regions (radial and ulnar) of all fingers, whereas differences in the proximal region were only observed on some fingers. Using the differences observed between these populations, the likelihood ratio for inferring membership of one of the populations from a fingerprint of unknown origin was calculated; therefore, a ridge density of 14 or less for both areas (ulnar and radial), support an origin sub-Saharan versus Spanish population.
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The study of dermatoglyphics involves analyzing the epidermal ridges found on the surface of the palms, soles, fingers, and toes of all primate species, including humans (1). Dermatoglyphics have been used extensively in bioanthropology, genetics, and evolutionary studies to characterize populations, to analyze the nature and origin of human variability, to assess population structure, and for interpopulation microdifferentiation (2–5).

Dermatoglyphics are polygenic characteristics with a possible limited environmental influence in the first few months of embryonic life (6,7). Although some methodological and genetic questions remain unresolved (4,8,9), a detailed history of the study of dermatoglyphics in human populations has demonstrated the usefulness of the information obtained from these characteristics in understanding the evolution and genetic structure of human populations (10–12), in characterizing syndromes and diseases (6,13–17), and in personal identification in the field of forensic science (18–25).

The formation of epidermal ridges occurs very early on in prenatal development, between the 10th and 16th week of gestation: by the sixth month, the dermal papillae ridges are considered fully developed (26–31). Once formed, and in the absence of lesions, these ridges will remain essentially unchanged throughout the life of the individual. Thus, ridge number is independent of age, and ridges tend to increase in size rather than in number as the body in general, and hands and feet in particular, grow (32–34). Nevertheless, age is a factor which affects fingerprint recognition, as previous studies have shown that the elderly population has a higher chance of a mismatch compared with the younger population (35).

Although numerous studies have been carried out on the dermatoglyphics of human populations, some of their inherent characteristics have received more attention than others. Thus, features such as main pattern type, pattern intensity index or ridge count have been widely studied (see bibliographies in 36–38), whereas other features, such as the minutiae (7,19,20,39–44, among others) or epidermal ridge breadth have received comparatively less attention, despite being of considerable interest due to their direct relevance in personal identification. Epidermal ridge breadth or thickness is determined by two parameters: (i) ridge width, and (ii) distance between ridges, and is a characteristic which presents topological, finger, and gender variability as well as differences between populations (1,15,45–50). Recently, some studies have explored the forensic applications of this feature for inferring the gender of an individual from fingerprints of an unknown origin (51–55).

Fingerprint recognition is one of the most widely used biometric systems and its use has also extended to personal authentication and government-to-citizen applications. Fingerprint recognition systems must be capable of handling fingerprints and palmprints from a diverse range of demographics. However, neither the impact of gender on such systems nor the possible differences among human populations have received much attention from the research community (50,56). Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyze topological and bimanual
variability in epidermal ridge density using the fingerprints taken from a sub-Saharan population sample. In addition, this sample was compared with a Spanish population sample (53), collected and analyzed using the same study methodology, to identify criteria for discriminating between the populations.

Materials and Methods

The material used for this study was obtained from fingerprint records held by the police. The fingerprints had been taken using the ink and roll method at the laboratory of the Judicial Police Unit at the Civil Guard Headquarters (Unidad Orgánica de la Policía Judicial de la Comandancia de la Guardia Civil) in Gambia (Spain). The sample consists of 100 males from the north west sub-Saharan region, of which 72 individuals were from Senegal, 15 from Mali, seven from Nigeria, two from Ghana, one from Guinea, one from Guinea Bissau, one from Sierra Leone, and one from Gambia (Fig. 1). A total of 1000 fingerprints were analyzed. As ridge breadth changes as the body grows, the sample was limited to adult subjects aged between 18- and 48-years old.

As is well-known, ridge breadth on finger and palmprints varies according to the amount of pressure applied when taking samples. Therefore, this characteristic was analyzed in accordance with the definition given by Penrose (57), namely, “as the distance between the center of one epidermal furrow and the center of the next furrow along a line at right angles to the direction of the furrow” (p. 1). Traditionally, indirect methods have been used to measure true ridge breadth, where the number of ridges transversely crossing a defined line is counted and ridge breadth is obtained by dividing the two figures (46,47). With this method of assessment, it is necessary to distinguish between breadth and printed ridge (line) width as the black line is a mere negative of the ridge top.

To assess ridge density, or the number of ridges occupying a defined area, a ridge count was carried out diagonally on a square measuring 5 × 5 mm, according to the method described by Acree (51). This provides the number of ridges/7.07 mm on the fingertip surface of an area located on the radial side of the distal region of each finger. In this study, we applied the methodology proposed by Gutiérrez-Redomero et al. (53) (Fig. 2) to locate the ridge count areas. Therefore, we increased the number of ridge count areas related to Acree’s method (51) by including two additional areas, one on the ulnar side of the distal region of the fingertip and the other on the proximal region. To locate the three-count areas, the fingerprint is divided into four sectors by two perpendicular axes that cross two ridges above the center of the type of pattern (Fig. 2), with the horizontal line positioned parallel to the interphalangeal joint. In the case of arches without a defined nucleus, the axes intersect at the center of the dactylogram on top of the arch (Fig. 2).

To facilitate counting, the ridge count was carried out using images enlarged to 16 times their original size, on which an area of 20 × 20 mm was defined. The fingers were assigned the numbers 1–10, starting from the right thumb or finger 1 (F1) and ending with the left little finger or finger 10 (F10).

The sample was statistically analyzed using SPSS 15.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) and Statistica 7 software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK). The ridge counts for the three areas (radial, ulnar, and proximal) of all 10 fingers of each individual enabled the mean for each area and each finger to be calculated. In addition, the mean ridge density for each area (radial, ulnar, and proximal) for all 10 fingers was calculated for each individual. The results obtained were compared with those for a Spanish population sample, previously published by Gutiérrez-Redomero et al. (53). Differences between the two populations were analyzed for the three areas: individually (mean for each area), and for both hands (mean for the areas of the right hand and the left hand). The sample was statistically analyzed by obtaining total and group descriptive values for the areas assessed, and comparing them to determine the significance of these differences. To this end, the Student’s t-test and Wilcoxon’s test for related samples were used. We applied analysis of variance to determine how the mean ridge density by hand is affected by two factors: the area (radial, ulnar, and proximal) and the population (Spanish and sub-Saharan). However, when the ridge density by finger (noncontinuous response) was compared we used nonparametric methods as the K-related samples (Friedman test). Frequencies for different types of patterns and their relationship with ridge density were calculated. We used the test for independence of characteristics (chi-square) to study the relationship between the type of pattern (arch-A, radial loop-RL, ulnar loop-UL, and whorl-W) and fingers (F1–F10). When dependence was detected, we applied a simple correspondence analysis (CA) to explain where this dependence was located on a two-way contingency table; that is, a frequency table with only two categorical variables.

In addition, mean ridge density by area for all 10 fingers was calculated for each subject, and the frequency distribution thus obtained was then used to calculate the likelihood ratio (LR), to obtain the probability of inferring the donor’s population of origin from ridge density values (58), where RD is ridge density, C the Spanish donor, and C′ the sub-Saharan donor.

\[ LR = \frac{P(C | RD)}{P(C' | RD)} \]

The value of LR gives the strength of support for one of the hypotheses: C or C′. Posterior probabilities P(C′|RD) and P(C|RD) were calculated using Bayes’ theorem (58). Information obtained from both LR computations and posterior probabilities were used to show favored odds for support of the most likely hypothesis for a given ridge density P(RD|C) and P(RD|C′). The prior probability of Spanish P(C) and sub-Saharan P(C′) depends on the degree of evidence that we have for the donor.

Results

Ridge density was assessed in three areas: two distal regions (radial and ulnar) and one proximal region. The mean ridge
density for all 10 fingers by area showed significant differences across the three areas (Table 1). Figure 3 gives the values obtained by finger and area (radial, ulnar, and proximal). On all fingers, both the radial and ulnar areas of the distal region presented a higher ridge density than the proximal region, and this was statistically significant. The thumbs (F1 and F6) presented the lowest ridge density on both the ulnar and radial areas of both hands, while the ring fingers (F4 and F9) presented the highest ridge density, again on both hands (Fig. 3). In contrast, in the proximal region it was the little fingers (F5 and F10) which presented the lowest density, and the thumbs (F1 and F6) which presented the highest. The right hand presented a significantly higher ridge density and therefore, narrower ridges, on the ulnar side, whereas ridge density on the left hand was significantly higher and therefore ridges were narrowest, on the radial side (Fig. 3).

Ridge density in all three areas correlated positively and significantly: thus, individuals who presented a high or low ridge density in one of the areas also presented this characteristic in the other two areas.

The right hand thumb (F1) and the left hand middle finger (F8) presented the greatest differences between the radial and ulnar areas in ridge density. In contrast, the fingers presenting the least difference in ridge density were the right hand middle finger (F3) and the left hand thumb (F6).

Significant differences between fingers for the radial and ulnar areas are shown in bold in Table 2. Significant differences between fingers, compared two by two for the radial area, are shown in the bottom left-hand side (in darker type), and the same data are given for the ulnar area (in lighter type) in the bottom right-hand side. For example, it can be seen that on the right hand, the radial areas of the thumb (F1) and the index finger (F2) present significant differences from the radial areas of all the other fingers, whereas on the left hand, although the radial area of the thumb (F6) also presents differences from all the other fingers, the index finger (F7) presents them from all except the right hand middle finger (F3) and little finger (F5). As regards the ulnar area, no significant differences were found between homologous fingers for ridge density. Thus, for example, the thumbs of both hands (F1 and F6) presented significant differences from all other fingers except their homologue.

The frequencies found for the main types of patterns for each finger (arches, radial loops, ulnar loops, and whorls) are shown in Fig. 4a. The ulnar loop (UL) was the most frequent pattern followed by the whorl (W), while the arch (A) and the radial loop (RL) presented considerably lower frequencies. All homologous fingers except the ring fingers (F4 and F9) presented a similar frequency distribution. Whorls were the most frequent pattern type on both index fingers (F2 and F7) and on the right hand ring finger (F4), whereas ulnar loops were the most frequent pattern type on the other fingers. Radial loops were only found on the index fingers of both hands (F2 and F7) and on the right hand middle finger (F3). Arches were more frequent on the index fingers of both hands (F2 and F7) and on the left hand thumb (F6).

Significant dependence was found ($\chi^2 = 156.13$, df = 27; p-value <0.0001) between the general patterns and the fingers. The CA shown in Fig. 4b explains 93.63% of the inertia. The first dimension separates the whorls, the arches and the radial loops from the ulnar loops. The second dimension separates the thumbs (F1 and F6) and ring fingers (F4 and F9) from the index (F2 and F7), middle (F3 and F8), and little (F5 and F10) fingers.

No association was found between epidermal ridge density and pattern type for either the radial or ulnar areas with the exception of the left hand thumb (F6), where ridge density was significantly higher in whorls than in arches in the ulnar area, with the whorls consequently presenting narrower ridges. In contrast,
significant differences in ridge density in the proximal region were observed on all fingers, with whorls presenting higher ridge densities than all other types of pattern (ulnar loops, radial loops, and arches).

Figure 5 gives the mean ridge densities obtained for all three fingerprint areas for each finger from the sub-Saharan population sample studied, compared with those obtained from a Spanish population sample studied by Gutiérrez-Redomero et al. (53). The sub-Saharan sample presented a significantly lower ridge density than the Spanish sample for both the radial and ulnar areas of all fingers. A means comparison showed that ridge density in the Spanish population sample was significantly higher for all the radial areas of all fingers. However, although the Spanish sample also presented higher ridge densities in the ulnar area of all fingers, these differences were not significant in the case of the right hand thumb (F1) or index finger (F2). In contrast, although the Spanish sample presented lower ridge density than the sub-Saharan population sample in the proximal region of all fingers except both little fingers (F5 and F10) and the right hand thumb (F1), these differences were only significant for the middle fingers of both hands (F3 and F8) and the left hand ring finger (F9).

Comparing ridge density in the radial and ulnar areas of both populations, it was observed that while the sub-Saharan population presented a different pattern for each hand (ridge density on the right hand was higher in the ulnar area, whereas on the left hand it was higher in the radial area), the Spanish population presented the same pattern for both hands, with ridge density being highest in the radial areas of both hands.

A comparison between the left and right hand of mean ridge density in both populations is given in Fig. 7. In the sub-Saharan population, ridge count variability for the three areas studied ranged from 9 ridges/25 mm² to 17 ridges/25 mm², and for the Spanish population, from 8 ridges/25 mm² to 19 ridges/25 mm². These distributions were then used to calculate ridge density probabilities, to determine the likelihood ratio and posterior probabilities. This in turn makes it possible to estimate the most likely origin, given a determined number of ridges on the fingerprint, of an individual thought to come from one of these two populations (Tables 3 and 4). We obtained that 14 ridges/7.07 mm or less have an LR \(< 1\) for both areas (ulnar and radial), this means that the LR supports an origin Spanish population. The results show that depending on the prior proba-

![FIG. 3—Mean ridge density for each area for 10 fingers. U, ridge density ulnar; R, ridge density radial; P, ridge density proximal. Fingers (i = 1, ..., 10).](image)

![TABLE 2—Differences between fingers for the radial and ulnar areas.](table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.089</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.012</td>
<td>&lt;0.501</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.593</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The bold values represent significant differences between the density of ridges of the fingers (i = 1, ..., 10) by area (radial and ulnar) at the 95% confidence level.
ilities of sub-Saharan African men and Spanish men, the favored odds change. Our results show that given the same prior probability for both populations, $P(C) = P(C') = 0.5$, if a fingerprint presents a ridge count of 14 ridges/7.07 mm or less on either the radial or ulnar areas, it was most probably made by a sub-Saharan male. However, if the ridge count for the same areas is 15 ridges/7.07 mm or more, the fingerprint was most probably made by a Spanish male. Similarly, the posterior probabilities for the other ridge counts in both areas were also obtained (Tables 3 and 4). As the proximal region did not present significant differences between the fingers of the two populations studied, it was not assessed. The results show that posterior probabilities may vary, depending on the prior probability. Thus, in a situation where the prior probability is $P(C) = 0.7$ and $P(C') = 0.3$ (70% Spanish population and 30% sub-Saharan population), the discrimination threshold for the radial area does not change but that of the ulnar area does. Consequently, in this case, a ridge count of 13 ridges/25 mm$^2$ or more would indicate a higher probability that the fingerprint was made by a Spanish male (Table 4).

Discussion

Together with morphological, molecular, and biochemical markers, dermatoglyphics have traditionally been used in physical anthropology to explore affinities and differences between human groups (see bibliographies in 4,36–38). Those studies to date which have evaluated differences in ridge density have been based on the traditional method for counting ridges (number of ridges which cross a line drawn from the delta or triradius to the center, without considering the point of origin or termination of the ridges) (1). This model does not permit an assessment of topological differences in ridge density on the same finger, or of topological differences in patterns without a triradius or delta, such as arches. Therefore, the study presented here is the first to use the methodology described with an African population to conduct a topological assessment of all types of fingerprint patterns. The variability observed in ridge density in the three areas studied reflects differences in ridge breadth on the fingerprint surfaces of the sample studied. These differences show a distribution pattern of higher density, and thus, narrower ridges, in the radial and ulnar areas of the distal region. In contrast, a considerably lower ridge density was observed in the proximal region, reflecting the presence of

![FIG. 4](image-url) - (a) Frequencies for the type of patterns by finger. F: finger ($i = 1, ..., 10$). (b) Analysis of correspondence between general patterns and the fingers.

![FIG. 5](image-url) - Mean ridge density for each area for 10 fingers. Finger ($i = 1, ..., 10$), U, ridge density ulnar; R, ridge density radial; P, ridge density proximal.
thicker ridges in this fingerprint region. These results concur with findings for the Spanish population, although the differences observed between the distal and proximal regions are smaller in the sub-Saharan sample, revealing greater homogeneity in ridge thickness on the fingerprint surface of this population. Surprisingly, however, the topological distribution patterns on both hands observed in the sub-Saharan population did not mirror each other, unlike those observed in the Spanish population sample (Fig. 7).

The distal-proximal gradient observed for ridge breadth on the distal phalanges of the Spanish and sub-Saharan samples has already been reported by Cummins et al. (46) and Ohler and Cummins (47), who described the existence of a topological distal-proximal gradient from the distal phalange of the fingers to the proximal region of the palm of the hand. It is possible that this lower ridge density reflects the presence of thicker ridges; however, it is also possible that it is the consequence of wider grooves, or both characteristics together. Further research which specifically explores these hypotheses is required.

Statistically significant differences were found between ridge density in the radial and ulnar areas, on all fingers. Differences between radial and ulnar ridge counts on fingers have also been found using traditional ridge count methods with several populations (6,59–65, among others). As Jantz and Owsley (59) have pointed out, this suggests that the radial and ulnar areas of fingers are, apparently, responding to different developmental instructions, thus, justifying the use of radial and ulnar ridge counts as independent variables in a dermatoglyphic analysis, and supporting a topological classification system, at least as regards its application to the fingers.

In past decades, various studies have been conducted on sub-Saharan populations which have demonstrated certain geographic distribution patterns as regards finger and palm dermatoglyphics (60,65–76, among others). The frequencies recorded for the main types of patterns in this study are in agreement with those described for other population groups from the west of Africa. As regards ridge density, we did not find an association between ridge density in the distal region (radial and ulnar areas) and the type of pattern (arch, radial loop, ulnar loop, and whorl). However, ridge density in the proximal region did present significant differences on all fingers, with a higher density being found in whorls than in ulnar loops. These results coincide with those found for the Spanish population (53).

A comparative analysis of the sub-Saharan and Spanish population samples (53) revealed significant differences in ridge density. The sub-Saharan sample presented a lower ridge density in all the areas studied, demonstrating the existence of thicker crests over the entire fingerprint surface. These results coincide with those found by Jantz and Parham (77), who evaluated palm

![FIG. 6—Mean ridge density for each area for the fingers (right hand and left hand).](image1)

![FIG. 7—Frequency distribution of dermal ridge density. sub-Saharan, n = 100, Spanish, n = 100 (53).](image2)
TABLE 3—Data of probability densities and likelihood ratios derived observed ridge in radial area. Spanish (C) and sub-Saharan (C').

| Ridge Density (RD) | Spanish P(RD|C') | Sub-Saharan P(RD|C') | Likelihood Ratio P(C|RD)/P(C'|RD) | Favored Odds P(C)=0.5 P(C')=0.5 P(C)=0.7 P(C')=0.3 |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <13               | 0.07            | 0.36            | 0.194                         | Spanish (0.16) <sub-Sah (0.84)  |
| 14                | 0.11            | 0.36            | 0.306                         | Spanish (0.23) <sub-Sah (0.77)  |
| 15                | 0.25            | 0.17            | 1.471                         | Spanish (0.60) >sub-Sah (0.40)  |
| 16                | 0.21            | 0.09            | 2.333                         | Spanish (0.70) >sub-Sah (0.30)  |
| >17               | 0.36            | 0.02            | 18.000                        | Spanish (0.95) >sub-Sah (0.05)  |

TABLE 4—Data of probability densities and likelihood ratios derived observed ridge in ulnar area. Spanish (C) and sub-Saharan (C').

| Ridge Density (RD) | Spanish P(RD|C') | Sub-Saharan P(RD|C') | Likelihood Ratio P(RD|C)/P(RD|C') | Favored Odds P(C)=0.5 P(C')=0.5 P(C)=0.7 P(C')=0.3 |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <12               | 0.01            | 0.13            | 0.077                         | Spanish (0.07) <sub-Sah (0.93)  |
| 13                | 0.11            | 0.21            | 0.524                         | Spanish (0.34) <sub-Sah (0.66)  |
| 14                | 0.27            | 0.29            | 0.931                         | Spanish (0.48) <sub-Sah (0.52)  |
| 15                | 0.32            | 0.24            | 1.333                         | Spanish (0.57) >sub-Sah (0.43)  |
| 16                | 0.21            | 0.07            | 3.000                         | Spanish (0.75) >sub-Sah (0.25)  |
| >17               | 0.08            | 0.06            | 1.333                         | Spanish (0.57) >sub-Sah (0.43)  |

TABLE 5—Mean of ridge density for the radial area in different studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Caucasian–American (51)</th>
<th>African–American (51)</th>
<th>India (52)</th>
<th>India (54)</th>
<th>South India (79)</th>
<th>Spanish (53)</th>
<th>Chinese (55)</th>
<th>Malaysian (55)</th>
<th>Our Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>14.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>19.22</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>17.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
differences, as well as to increase our understanding of this and other dermatoglyphic characteristics. Increased knowledge about papillary ridge variations in human populations allows its use in a forensic context to discriminate between populations.

As with the results mentioned earlier, the results obtained in this study are of interest for their applications in the field of forensic science, to improve personal identification from fingerprint impressions. The main way in which this research contributes to current forensic concerns is by providing additional information to assess the weight of the evidence, in terms of likelihood ratio, that could help the fingerprint expert (criminal investigator) to direct the search toward the most probable group of suspects. The likelihood ratio method is a logical and scientific test that takes into account the weight of the evidence as well as other information in the case. The method is transparent and is currently being implemented in different laboratories in the field of criminalistics at the international level. In addition, this technique could prove useful in analyzing impressions that until now have not been recovered or considered for examination because of their low quality, but for which it is possible to count the ridges, particularly in cases where sub-Saharan and Spanish populations have been involved.

Conclusions

Topological differences exist in the epidermal ridge density observed in fingerprint impressions taken from a sub-Saharan population sample, with a higher density in the distal region of the finger than the proximal region.

For both hands, the ring fingers presented the narrowest ridges and the thumbs, the thickest.

Significant differences between the sub-Saharan population studied and the reference Spanish sample were found for ridge density in the distal region of the fingers, with thicker ridges in this area.

The differences observed between the sub-Saharan and Spanish samples in the distal region of the fingers, especially in the radial area, could facilitate discrimination between the populations based on the ridge density observed in a fingerprint impression.

The results presented here are new in this field of study for the African population.
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